

Ministry of Energy and Mines
BC Geological Survey

Assessment Report
Title Page and Summary

TYPE OF REPORT [type of survey(s)]: Geophysical, Geological

TOTAL COST: \$39,369.54

AUTHOR(S): Jacques Houle

SIGNATURE(S): 

NOTICE OF WORK PERMIT NUMBER(S)/DATE(S):

YEAR OF WORK: 2015

STATEMENT OF WORK - CASH PAYMENTS EVENT NUMBER(S)/DATE(S): SOW 5589657 / February 7, 2016

PROPERTY NAME: New Sunro

CLAIM NAME(S) (on which the work was done): 564267, 663263, 841971, 842946, 953676, 1016009, 1016282, 1017854, 1021332, 1021619, 1021801, 1022022, 1022028, 1022415

COMMODITIES SOUGHT: Copper, Silver, Gold

MINERAL INVENTORY MINFILE NUMBER(S), IF KNOWN: 092C 073

MINING DIVISION: Victoria

NTS/BCGS: 092C 08E / 092C 050

LATITUDE: 48 ° 26 '49 " LONGITUDE: 124 ° 01 '40 " (at centre of work)

OWNER(S):

1) Bjorn Olsen

2)

MAILING ADDRESS:

55 Colorado Drive

Campbell River, BC V9H 1N1

OPERATOR(S) [who paid for the work]:

1) New Sunro Copper Ltd.

2)

MAILING ADDRESS:

55 Colorado Drive

Campbell River, BC V9H 1N1

PROPERTY GEOLOGY KEYWORDS (lithology, age, stratigraphy, structure, alteration, mineralization, size and attitude):

gabbro, diorite, diabase, basalt, agglomerate, flow breccia, tuff, Paleocene, Eocene, Oligocene, Metchosin Formation, Sooke Gabbro, Sheeted Dykes, High Level Gabbro, Carmanah Group, intrusions, dykes, folding, hornblende, actinolite, chlorite, uraltite, epidote, zoisite, calcite, quartz, feldspar, magnetite, pyrite, pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, native copper, copper, nickel, cobalt, silver, gold, molybdenite, shears, veinlets, smears, blebs, lenses, steeply dipping

REFERENCES TO PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT WORK AND ASSESSMENT REPORT NUMBERS: 118, 208, 211, 544, 549, 2229, 2928, 4104, 4751, 6272, 6517, 6592, 7814, 8208, 8860, 12612, 27232, 27280, 27472, 30545, 31362, 31997, 33480

TYPE OF WORK IN THIS REPORT	EXTENT OF WORK (IN METRIC UNITS)	ON WHICH CLAIMS	PROJECT COSTS APPORTIONED (incl. support)
GEOLOGICAL (scale, area)			
Ground, mapping			
Photo interpretation			
GEOPHYSICAL (line-kilometres)			
Ground			
Magnetic			
Electromagnetic			
Induced Polarization			
Radiometric			
Seismic			
Other 2025 ha 2 m. resolution satellite DEM survey		564267, 663263, 841971, 842946, 9504	\$11,130.00
Airborne 149 line-km aeromagnetic & radiometric survey		564267, 663263, 841971, 842946, 9504	\$15,225.00
GEOCHEMICAL (number of samples analysed for...)			
Soil			
Silt			
Rock			
Other			
DRILLING (total metres; number of holes, size)			
Core			
Non-core			
RELATED TECHNICAL			
Sampling/assaying			
Petrographic			
Mineralographic			
Metallurgic			
PROSPECTING (scale, area)			
PREPARATORY / PHYSICAL			
Line/grid (kilometres)			
Topographic/Photogrammetric (scale, area)			
Legal surveys (scale, area)			
Road, local access (kilometres)/trail			
Trench (metres)			
Underground dev. (metres)			
Other Modeling, maps, reports, NOW application		564267, 663263, 841971, 842946, 9504	\$13,014.54
TOTAL COST:			\$39,369.54

2015 Technical Assessment Report

for the

New Sunro Property

Vancouver Island, British Columbia

NTS 092C 08E BCGS 092C 050

Latitude $48^{\circ} 26' 49''$ Longitude $124^{\circ} 01' 40''$

UTM NAD83 Zone 10N 424000E 5366500N

For

New Sunro Copper Ltd.

55 Colorado Drive

Campbell River, BC V9H 1N1

By

Jacques Houle P.Eng.

6552 Peregrine Road

Nanaimo, B.C. V9V 1P8

February 7, 2016

A circular red stamp of the Professional Engineer of British Columbia, with the number 25107 in the center. A handwritten signature in black ink is written over the stamp. Below the stamp, the date "February 7, 2016" is printed.

February 7, 2016

Contents

Introduction	Page
Property location, access and physiography	4
Property definition, owner, operator, geology and history	4
List of claims and work completed	21
Technical data, Interpretation, Conclusions and Recommendations	21
Authors' Qualifications	27
References	27
Tables:	
Table 1 – Cell Mineral Claims & Status as of February 7, 2016	5
Table 2 – Proposed Work Program & Budget Summary for the New Sunro Property	26
Figures:	
Southern Vancouver Island Mineral Titles (MTO) – 1:250,000 scale	Figure 1a
Southern Vancouver Island Infrastructure (MapPlace) – 1:250,000 scale	Figure 1b
Southern Vancouver Island Mineral BCGS Geology (MapPlace) – 1:250,000 scale	Figure 1c
Southern Vancouver Island Mineral Aeromagnetics (MapPlace) – 1:250,000 scale	Figure 1d
New Sunro Property Mineral Titles (MTO) – 1:50,000 scale	Figure 2a
New Sunro Property Infrastructure (MapPlace) – 1:50,000 scale	Figure 2b
New Sunro Property BCGS 2005 Geology (MapPlace) – 1:50,000 scale	Figure 2c
New Sunro Property 1 st Vert. Deriv. Aeromagnetics (MapPlace) – 1:50,000 scale	Figure 2d

New Sunro Mineralized Zones – 1:20,000 scale	Figure 3a
New Sunro Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geology – 1:20,000 scale	Figure 3b
New Sunro Geological Targets & Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geology – 1:20K	Figure 3c
New Sunro Total Magnetic Intensity & Mineralized Zones – 1:20,000 scale	Figure 3d
New Sunro Total Magnetic Targets, Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geology – 1:20K	Figure 3e
New Sunro Vertical Magnetic Gradient & Mineralized Zones – 1:20,000 scale	Figure 3f
New Sunro V.M. Gradient Targets, Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geology – 1:20K	Figure 3g
New Sunro Potassium Equivalent Concentration & Mineralized Zones – 1:20K	Figure 3h
New Sunro Potassium Equiv. Targets, Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geol. – 1:20K	Figure 3i
Central Area New Sunro Map – 1:10,000 scale	Figure 4a
Central Area Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geology – 1:10,000 scale	Figure 4b
Central Area Geological Targets & Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geology – 1:20K	Figure 4c
Central Area Total Magnetic Intensity & Mineralized Zones – 1:20,000 scale	Figure 4d
Central Area Ttl. Magnetic Targets, Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geology – 1:20K	Figure 4e
Central Area Vertical Magnetic Gradient & Mineralized Zones – 1:20,000 scale	Figure 4f
Central Area V.M. Gradient Targets, Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geol. – 1:20K	Figure 4g
Central Area Potassium Equivalent Concentration & Mineralized Zones – 1:20K	Figure 4h
Central Area Potassium Equiv. Targets, Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geol. – 1:20K	Figure 4i

Appendices:

2015 Airborne Geophysical Survey Data (Precision GeoSurveys Inc.)	Appendix 1
2015 Satellite Digital Elevation Model Data (Auracle Remote Sensing Inc.)	Appendix 2
2015-2016 Mineral Tenure Data	Appendix 3

Introduction

Property location, access and physiography

The New Sunro Property is centred approximately 50 kilometres due west of the city of Victoria, B.C. on southern Vancouver Island at latitude 48⁰ 27' N. and longitude 124⁰ 02' W, as shown in Figure 1a. Access to the New Sunro Property from the provincial capital city Victoria is via paved Highway 14 west for 25 kilometres through the residential community of Sooke, continuing west for another 25 kilometres to the beginning of Forby East Main logging road, which provides access along the east side of the Jordan River to most of the historic mine workings on the east side of the Property. Five kilometres west along Highway 14, the beginning of Jordan River Main logging road provides access along the west side of the Jordan River, and the west side of the Property. The various logging roads covering the New Sunro Property are in differing states of repair, and are blocked by several locked gates where access is controlled by logging companies. Highway 14 proceeds west for a further 30 kilometres to the community of Port Renfrew, where lodging and basic supplies are available year-round. Victoria has a marine port, an international airport (in nearby Sidney), several large hospitals, and is both the provincial and regional government centre. Travel time from the Property to Victoria is 1 hour, to Sooke is 30 minutes and to Port Renfrew is 45 minutes. See Figure 1b and 2b for infrastructure details for the Property.

The New Sunro Property is situated on Southern Vancouver Island along the southwest slope of Jordan Ridge where it is bisected by the Jordan River with elevations ranging from 75 metres in the south to 650 metres in the north. Topography consists of a rugged, southwest sloping plateau incised by steep sided canyons containing southwest draining creeks. Most of the Property is covered by second growth mixed forest including active and inactive logging areas. The climate is warm and dry in the summer and cool and very wet in the winter, with little or no snow accumulations but generally heavy rain and winds in the winter. This allows for a year round field season for most surface and any underground work. Forest fire hazard due to severely dry conditions typically in July or August, may cause field work to be suspended.

Property definition, owner, operator, geology and history

The New Sunro Property ("Property") is an advanced underground copper-silver-gold exploration property located on Southern Vancouver Island, British Columbia, Canada. The Property consists of 14 cell mineral claims covering 1,328 hectares held 100% by Mr. Bjorn Olsen beneficially for operator New Sunro Copper Ltd. ("New Sunro" or "the Company" or "the Client"). The cell mineral claims are located on NTS map sheet 092C 08E or BCGS map sheet

092C050 in the Victoria Mining Division. The Property covers approximately 1328 hectares, as shown in several of the accompanying figures, best shown in Figure 2a. It is comprised of 14 cell mineral claims held 100% by Mr. Bjorn Olsen beneficially for New Sunro Copper Ltd. shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1 – New Sunro Property Mineral Titles as of February 7, 2016

Title Number	Claim Name	Owner	Title Type	Title Sub Type	Map Number	Issue Date	Good To Date	Status	Area (ha)
564267	SUNRO 1	260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2007/aug/08	2021/aug/09	GOOD	21.4234
663263		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2009/nov/01	2021/aug/09	GOOD	107.117
841971		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2010/dec/30	2021/aug/09	GOOD	128.541
842946		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2011/jan/13	2021/aug/09	GOOD	21.4199
953676		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2012/mar/01	2021/aug/09	GOOD	42.8538
1016009		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2013/jan/16	2021/aug/09	GOOD	107.117
1016282		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2013/jan/24	2021/aug/09	GOOD	42.8538
1017854		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2013/mar/17	2021/aug/09	GOOD	214.234
1021332	SUNRO EAST	260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2013/aug/01	2021/aug/09	GOOD	214.265
1021619		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2013/aug/12	2021/aug/09	GOOD	192.757
1021801		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2013/aug/21	2021/aug/09	GOOD	42.8365
1022022		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2013/sep/03	2021/aug/09	GOOD	42.8399
1022028		260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2013/sep/03	2021/aug/09	GOOD	21.42
1022415	SUNRO SOUTH	260429 (100%)	Mineral	Claim	092C	2013/sep/17	2021/aug/09	GOOD	128.577
TOTALS	14 cell claims								1328.26

BC Hydro’s 170 MW hydroelectric power generating facility immediately south of the Property at the mouth of the Jordan River is currently the largest power source on Vancouver Island, and should have sufficient capacity to supply a moderate sized mining and processing operation. The Jordan River has adequate water supply for a processing plant, and the Property has several possible sites for waste and tailings disposal.

The geological setting of the New Sunro Property is fairly simple, with only two major rock units exposed in that portion of the Crescent Terrain, which forms the southern-most and youngest portion of Vancouver Island. Descriptions of the rock units to follow are taken from Geological Survey of Canada publications by Dolmage, V., 1919, Clapp, H.C., 1919 and Clapp, C.H., 1913. The rock units as shown in Figures 1c and 2c are summarized in the following geological legend from BC MapPlace BCGS 2005 Geology. The first vertical derivative aeromagnetic response both in region and immediate area of the New Sunro Property are shown in Figures 1d and 3d also from BC MapPlace.

Eocene to Oligocene

Carmanah Group

EOIC undivided sedimentary rocks

Paleocene to Eocene

Metchosin Igneous Complex

PeEMHgb High-Level Gabbros: gabbroic to dioritic intrusive rocks

PeEMSDdb Sheeted Dykes: diabase, basaltic intrusive rocks

PeEMSgb Sooke Gabbro: gabbroic to dioritic intrusive rocks

PeEMMvb Metchosin Formation: basaltic volcanic rocks

The oldest rocks are basaltic volcanics of the Metchosin Formation (PeEMMvb), consisting of agglomerates, flow breccias and tuffs, varying from fine to medium-coarse grained, and locally porphyritic. The coarse-grained varieties strongly resemble the gabbroic intrusions. The volcanic flows are locally cut by diabase dykes (PeEMSDdb) of similar composition as the flows, and are too small to appear on regional or property scale maps. Metamorphism of the volcanics is slight, generally with minor amounts of chlorite and serpentine alteration, except near gabbroic intrusions where contact metamorphism has been intense, with abundant hornblende, and locally actinolite, chlorite, uralite, epidote and zoisite alteration. Shearing within the contact metamorphosed volcanics is associated with the most intense hornblende alteration accompanied by metallic minerals magnetite, pyrite, pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite, and cut by veinlets and irregular replacements of quartz, epidote, zoisite, chlorite, calcite, secondary feldspar and sulphides. Bedding seen in the tuffaceous units generally display a north-northwest strike and east-northeast dip, with folding along a west-northwest axis. The New Sunro Property is underlain 50 to 60% by rocks of the Metchosin Formation.

The intrusive High Level Gabbro (PeEMHgb) in the area of the New Sunro Property is similar to but more differentiated and altered than the Sooke Gabbro (PeEMSgb) bodies found further east in the Sooke area. In the Property area, the gabbro is black, irregularly grained and consists mainly of hornblende and feldspar, with minor augite, ilmenite, magnetite and titanite. Masses of gabbro intrude the Metchosin volcanics in steeply dipping, elongate, dyke-like bodies parallel to the west-northwest fold axis in the volcanics. Three major gabbro intrusive bodies cross the Property, each about 1 kilometre apart and each approximately 250 to 750 metres thick, with the thickest dyke crossing the centre of the Property.

The Carmanah Group (EOIC), known as the Sooke Formation in older reports and maps, consists of partly consolidated, finely bedded sediments unconformably overlying the older rocks of the Metchosin Igneous Complex. Shallow inliers of Sooke Formation occur in the southwest and southeast areas of the New Sunro Property.

The Property hosts Eocene age metallic mineralization consisting of clustered, copper-gold-silver bearing, steeply dipping sulphide gash veins and lenticular masses, classified as Tholeiitic Intrusion Hosted Ni-Cu (BCGS Mineral Deposit Profile M02) assigned to the past producer BC MINFILE 092C073 – Sunro. Recently developed USGS Mineral Deposits Models have created a broad classification of magmatic sulfide-rich nickel-copper deposits including the sub-class: Basalt-related dike-sill hosted Ni-Cu Deposits, which includes the giant class mineral deposit Noril'sk-Talnakh, and also describes the form and mode of occurrence of the copper-silver-gold bearing zones on the Property.

Detailed geological mapping of the New Sunro Property is taken from the Geological Map of the Jordan River Area completed by Erich, E.E. and Blanchard, R., 1921 (BC Property File ID 15088) and geological contacts from this map have been georeferenced and added to Figures 3b, 3c, 3e, 3g, 3i and 4b to 4i inclusive, along with rock unit names from BC MapPlace. Descriptions of the mineral deposits or zones on the New Sunro Property are taken from the report completed for Cerna Copper Mines Limited by Crowhurst, J.J. et al, 1969 (BC Property File ID 72619). However, the mineralized zones are best described in the BC Minister of Mines Annual Report for 1950. Mineralization, consisting mainly of chalcopyrite, pyrite and pyrrhotite, occurs in shear or shatter zones in the basalt near the contacts of a centrally located band of gabbro. Native copper, molybdenite and small amounts of nickel and cobalt (associated with the pyrrhotite) have been reported from some of the zones. Near the mineralized zones, the augite in the basalts and the gabbros has been largely altered to hornblende. The sulphides usually appear as irregular veinlets, smears, or blebs in the hornblende rich rocks of the shear zones. In addition to such veinlets and lenses, some chalcopyrite occurs as disseminated grains.

Several historical maps available for the area of the New Sunro Property show detailed locations, mapping, drilling and sampling results from the various mineralized zones on the Property relative to the surveyed boundaries of the original crown granted mineral claims. A sketch taken from Page 29 of the 1969 report completed by Crowhurst, et al. (BC Property File ID 72619) showing the general locations of eighteen zones and sub-zones plus one anomaly has been georeferenced and added to Figures 3a to 3i inclusive and 4b to 4i inclusive. These zones occur in two clusters mainly within the Metchosin volcanics adjacent to and on either side of the central Gabbro body, straddling the Jordan River. The surface geology map and cross section completed by G. Meusy in 1971 (BC Property File ID 15102 and 15085) show details regarding the River, Cave, New, Centre (Archibald), Hanna, Turnbull, Bend, and Stewart Zones.

The surface map completed by Hedley Mascot Gold Mines Ltd. in 1949 shows details regarding the Caulfield, Tiger and Winkler Zones (BC Property File ID 13618). Past production at the Sunro Mine came initially from the five various River Zones, and during the latter part of the mine life came from the Cave Zone, with additional mineralization apparently remaining in both zones at the time of mine closure in December, 1974.

Up to twenty zones, sub-zones or anomalies containing copper, gold and silver mineralization have been discovered, and are located completely on five of the cell mineral claims near the centre of the New Sunro Property. These zones are variably described in the references listed in the History section of this report, are generally located as shown in Figures 3a to 3i inclusive and 4b to 4i inclusive, and listed and summarized as follows:

Mineralized Zones:

River Zones (on northeast corner of cell claim 564267 and into claim 663263 of the Property)

- Consists of 5 sub-parallel zones spaced over 150 metres across strike
- Orientation 150° Strike, 75° SW to 90° Dip, 65° Plunge SE
- Dimension 350 m. length x 350 m. depth x 1 to 10 m. thick
- Delineated by mapping, trenching, drifting, drilling, extraction, production

Cave Zone (on northeast part of cell claim 564267 of the Property)

- Consists of 2 sub-parallel zones approximately 25 metres apart
- Orientation 140° Strike, 90° Dip, steep plunge
- Dimension 175 m. length x 150 m. depth x 1 m to 25 m. thick
- Delineated by mapping, trenching, drifting, drilling, extraction, production

Centre (Archibald) Zone (on northeast part of cell claim 564267 of the Property)

- Possible northern extension of Cave Zone
- Orientation 160° Strike, steep dip, steep plunge
- Dimension 200 m. length x 100 m. depth x 1 m. to 3 m. thick
- Delineated by limited drilling and drifting

New Zone (on northeast part of cell claim 564267 of the Property)

- Possible northern extension of Cave Zone
- Orientation 130⁰ Strike, steep dip, steep plunge
- Dimension 100 m. length x 100 m. depth x 3 m. thick
- Delineated by limited drilling

Hanna Zone (on centre of cell claim 564267 of the Property)

- Orientation 140⁰ Strike, 70⁰ dip NE, plunge unknown
- Dimension 25 m. length x 300 m. depth x <1 m. thick
- Delineated by limited mapping and trenching, drilling and drifting

Turnbull Zone (on cell claim 663263 and into claims 564267 and 841971 of the Property)

- Orientation 120⁰ Strike, dip and plunge unknown
- Dimension 200 m. length x unknown depth x 2 m. to 6 m. thick
- Prospected by limited mapping, trenching and drilling

Bend Zone (on east side of cell claim 841971 of the Property)

- Orientation 065⁰ Strike, dip and plunge unknown
- Dimensions 60 m. length x 12 m. depth x unknown thickness
- Prospected by limited mapping, trenching and drilling

Stewart Zone (on southwest corner of cell claim 663263 and into claim 841971 of the Property)

- Orientation 010⁰ Strike, dip and plunge unknown
- Dimension 100 m. length x 50 m. depth x 5 m. to 10 m. thick
- Delineated by limited mapping, trenching and drilling

Robertson Zone (on south part of cell claim 841971 of the Property)

- Orientation 165⁰ Strike, dip and plunge unknown
- Dimension length and depth unknown x 4 m. thick
- Delineated by limited mapping and trenching

Winkler Zone (on south part of cell claim 841971 of the Property)

- Orientation 010⁰ Strike, dip and plunge unknown
- Dimension 150 m. length x 50 m. depth x 3 m. thick
- Delineated by limited mapping, trenching, drilling and drifting

Tiger Zone (on south part of cell claim 841971 of the Property)

- Orientation 120⁰ Strike, dip and plunge unknown
- Dimension 100 m. length x 125 m. depth x 1 m. to 6 m. thick
- Delineated by limited mapping, trenching and drilling

Yellow Cliff Zone (on south part of cell claim 841971 of the Property)

- Orientation 135⁰ Strike, dip and plunge unknown
- Dimension 40 m. length x unknown depth x 2.5 m. thick
- Delineated by limited mapping and trenching

Caulfield Zone (on south part of cell claim 841971 of the Property)

- Orientation 060⁰ Strike, dip and plunge unknown
- Dimension 150 m. length x unknown depth x 1 m. to 5 m. thick
- Delineated by outcrop samples

Hornet Zone (on south part of cell claim 841971 and into claim 1017854 of the Property)

- Orientation unknown
- Dimension unknown
- Delineated by limited mapping, trenching and drifting

Anomaly (on cell claim 663263 and into southeast corner of cell claim 564267 of the Property)

- Discovered by Noranda Exploration Company Ltd. in 1955, but limited data available
- Delineated by soil geochemistry and ground geophysics, possibly drilling

The following history is summarized primarily from publicly available government sources including BC Ministry of Energy and Mines and Geological Survey Branch Annual Reports, BC Assessment Reports, BC MINFILE Reports, BC Property File Data, and Geological Survey of Canada reports and data, listed in the Reference Section. Imperial units have been used to maintain consistency with source data prior to 1980, and metric units used thereafter.

From 1908 to 1912, C.H. Clapp completed geological mapping on Southern Vancouver Island for the Geological Survey of Canada, documented in Map 17A and Memoir 13. He identified and named the key geological units in the area of the Property, including the Metchosin Volcanics, Sooke Gabbro Group, and the Carmanah Formation, shown in Map 17A (Clapp, 1911). In Memoir 13 he described the character and distribution of the Sooke Type of copper mineralization developed on the East Sooke peninsula, located 15 miles east of the Property (Clapp, 1912). In 1913, H. C. Cooke, V. Dolmage and A. McLeod completed detailed geological mapping in the Sooke Area for the Geological Survey of Canada, including characterization of the copper mineralization, described in GSC Summary Report 1913 (Cooke, 1914).

In 1916, after studying Geological Survey of Canada maps and reports, G. Winkler staked and prospected a property on the Jordan River showing extensive chalcopyrite mineralization in shear zones; with plans to option and diamond drill his property (BC Annual Report 1916, and BC Property File ID 15071). In 1917, Mr. Winkler optioned his Sunloch Group of 28 claims to Sunloch Mines Limited. Six mineralized shear zones were exposed in four adits and extensive surface stripped outcrops, yielding assays of 1 to 3% copper, with minor gold and silver with widths of up to 20 metres (BC Annual Report 1917). In 1918, Sunloch Mines Ltd. continued development on the Sunloch and adjacent Vulcan Groups of mineral claims. Development and underground diamond drilling continued mainly from the east side of the Jordan River canyon on two zones, outlining 35,000 to 50,000 tons of possible ore between 2.5 and 4 percent copper in the River Zone and 80,000 to 120,000 tons of possible ore between 3.5 to 5 percent copper on the Cave Zone. On the west side of the river, open cuts on the Archibald Zone showed low grade ore (BC Annual Report 1918, and BC Property File ID 15076 and ID 15077).

In 1919, the Consolidated Mining, Smelting, and Power Company of Canada (predecessor company of Teck Resources Ltd.) purchased controlling interest of Sunloch Mines Limited, and thereby the Sunloch and Vulcan Groups. Development on the Sunloch Group was concentrated on the River Zone, where drifting establishing lateral continuity of mineralization for more than 700 feet to vertical depths up to 500 feet from surface, with values of 1 to 7 percent copper. On the west side of the river, a new adit driven to test the possible strike continuation of the River Zone intersected two zones 11 feet and 18 feet in width carrying up to 1.5 percent copper. Also in 1919, G. Winkler staked the Gabbro Group of mineral claims, adjacent to the Sunloch and Vulcan Groups (BC Annual Report 1919). Also in 1919, the Geological Survey of

Canada completed a comprehensive study of the geological setting and copper mineralization in the Sunloch Copper District, BC in GSC Summary Report 1919, Part B (Dolmage, 1920).

In 1920, the Sunloch Group was expanded to 30 claims totaling 1,300 acres, drilling traced the River Zone to vertical depths of 150 feet below the mine workings, and drifting continued west of the Jordan River to test the possible extension of the River Zone. All work on the Sunloch Group was suspended in October due to economic conditions. On the Gabbro Group, extensive open-cuts and stripping were completed on several zones, including the Archibald, Winkler and Turnbull Zone, plus two short adits: one on the Winkler Zone and one on the Yellow Jacket claim (BC Annual Report 1920, and BC Property File ID 15106). In 1921, continued work on the Gabbro Group discovered the pyrrhotite-bearing Stewart Zone, exposed in four open-cuts with widths of 12 to 30 feet and an assay of 2.3 percent copper and 0.58 percent nickel. Several other open cuts exposed nickel mineralization on the Winkler Zone and copper mineralization on various other zones including the Winkler and Turnbull Zones (BC Annual Report 1921, and BC Property File ID 15078, ID 15104 and ID 15110). Also in 1921, a geological map of the Jordan River area was published, showing topography, interpreted geology, projections of underground workings, surface infrastructure and mineral claims with names (Erich, E.E. and Blanchard, R., 1921, BC Property File ID 15088 – reproduced as Figure 4 with New Sunro Property perimeter superimposed).

In 1922, continued work on the Gabbro Group by Gabbro Copper Mines Limited (N.P.L.) established four deposits of copper-gold-silver mineralization occurring in nearly parallel north-westerly trending lines: the Stewart, Pat, Turnbull, and Caulfield Zones, in addition to the possible westward extension of the Cave Zone from the claims of the Sunloch Group. Samples taken from open cuts on the possible Cave Zone extension yielded 1.8 to 5.2 percent copper in exposures 9 to 10 feet in width. Two samples taken from twelve open cuts on the Stewart Zone yielded 0.5 to 2.7 percent copper and 0.05 to 0.3 percent nickel. A selected sample taken from a higher grade portion of an 20 foot wide exposure in an open-cut on the Turnbull Zone yielded 8.8 percent copper. A select sample from the Caulfield Zone yielded 2.8 percent copper in an open cut exposing the zone over a width of 12 feet (BC Annual Report 1922).

In 1923, Gabbro Copper Mines Limited continued work on their Gabbro Group, mainly on the Caulfield Zone with six open-cuts exposing copper sulphide mineralization over a length of 500 feet, and widths of 17 to 20 feet. Six samples from the open-cuts yielded 1 to 6.2 percent copper (BC Annual Report 1923). In 1924, work continued on the Gabbro Group of mineral claims (BC Annual Report 1924). In 1925, assessment work was completed on the northeast part of the Sunloch Group, and on the Gabbro Group (BC Annual Report 1925). In 1926, a detailed examination of the Gabbro Group was completed for Porcupine Goldfields D. & F. Co. Ltd., and 22 samples were taken from the Caulfield, Cave and Turnbull Zones (Starr, C.C. and

Frith, O.D., BC Property File ID 15097, ID 15100, ID 15101 and ID 15112). In 1928, the Gabbro Group was optioned by Gabbro Copper Mines to the Pacific Tidewater Company, a subsidiary of the British Metal Corporation Canada (predecessor company of AMC PLC), for development. In 1929, A Radiore geophysical survey was completed on the Gabbro Group, and follow-up diamond drilling yielded only low grade mineralization (BC Annual Report 1929). No records of work are available for the period 1930 to 1948.

In 1949, Hedley Mascot Gold Mines Ltd. optioned both the 30 claims of the Sunloch Group and the 23 claims of the adjacent Gabbro Group from their respective owners, and also staked an additional ten claims and 1 claim fraction to the west of and adjoining the Gabbro Group. Diamond drilling completed in 1949 totaled 9,354 feet in 28 holes. Work completed to the end of 1949 had outlined eleven northwesterly trending copper-bearing shear zones known, from northeast to southwest, as River, Centre (Archibald), Gordon, Cave, Turnbull, Stewart, Robertson, Winkler, Tiger, Hornet and Caulfield. The zones are in two northwesterly trending belts of Metchosin Basalt each approximately 3,000 feet wide, variably replaced by hornblende and mineralized with chalcopyrite, pyrrhotite and pyrite, separated by a northwesterly trending body of gabbro about 2,000 feet wide (BC Annual Report 1949, and BC Property File ID 13618).

In 1950, Hedley Mascot Gold Mines Ltd. continued diamond drilling on the Sunloch and Gabbro Properties, completing an additional four holes including some over 1,000 feet in depth. Work completed to the end of 1950 included numerous open-cuts and strippings, about 3,800 feet of adits, and 14,000 feet of diamond drilling. Twelve mineralized zones were described in detail by government geologists, and mapped in three different geological settings: four in the Metchosin Basalt close to the northeastern contact of the centre band of the Sooke Gabbro (River, Centre (Archibald), Cave and Turnbull), five in the in the basalt close to the southwest contact of the gabbro (Winkler, Tiger, Yellow Cliff, Robertson and Caulfield), and three within the gabbro (Bend, Stewart and Hornet). The most promising results were obtained from the River, Cave, Centre, Bend, and Yellow Cliff Zones. Work completed to date had outlined about 600,000 tons of milling grade copper-gold mineralization in the River Zone, and possibly considerable tonnage of lower grade mineralization in the Centre and Cave Zones. Limited biogeochemical studies were completed by government geologists analyzing for copper and zinc in balsam and hemlock twigs (BC Annual Report 1950). In November, 1950, J.W. Young of Hedley Mascot Gold Mines Ltd. presented a technical paper "The Geology of the Sunloch Copper Mine, Vancouver Island" at the Annual Western Meeting of the Canadian Institute of Mining in Vancouver.

In 1951, a mineralographic study of the Sunloch Copper Ore was completed by R.B. Toombs as part of a 4th year Geology Course in the Department of Geology at the University of British Columbia. Conclusions are that magnetite and ilmenite pre-date sulphide minerals, which

include pyrite, pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite formed sequentially. Pyrite contains minor amounts of arsenopyrite, pyrrhotite may contain nickel in solid solution, and chalcopyrite contains minor amounts of cubanite (BC Property File ID 59782). No other records of work are available for the period 1951 to 1954.

In 1955, Noranda Exploration Company, Ltd. completed surface exploration work on the Gabbro Group, including soil geochemistry, electromagnetic and magnetometer surveys, and 2,000 feet of diamond drilling (BC Annual Report 1955, Menzies, M.M., BC ARIS File 118). Also in 1955, a legal survey of the mineral claims on Jordan River, showing surveyed drill holes, was completed (Green, F.C. and Menzies, M.M., BC Property File ID 15084, 15105, ID 15107 and ID 15109).

In 1956, the Sunloch and Gabbro Groups were consolidated under the name of Sunro Mines Limited, who started constructing an access road to a new adit portal site 100 feet above the Jordan River and 1 mile from its mouth (BC Annual Report 1956). In 1957, the new 5100 Level Adit was driven 4,379 feet northeast towards the downward extensions of the mineralized shear zone, and new surface buildings were constructed (BC Annual Report 1957).

In 1958, the Sunro Mine 5100 Level Adit was driven an additional 3,456 to a total length of 7,805 feet, and penetrated the River Zone at about 7,500 feet, consisting of chalcopyrite with minor pyrrhotite and pyrite. A drift was driven northwest from the 5100 Level Adit along strike with the zone for 400 feet, an underground diamond drilling program was commenced, and the 5100 Level was structurally mapped (BC Annual Report 1958, BC Property File ID 15103). Also in 1958, Rio Canadian Exploration Ltd. optioned two group of claims from the Ancon Copper Property, consisting of the Conrad A-D and Bob A-B Groups of mineral claims located four miles northeast of Jordan River, and the Ann, Don Jo A-D Groups of mineral claims located four miles northwest of Jordan River, and completed geological, geochemical and geophysical work and trenching, which failed to yield encouraging results (Gatenby, L.B., 1958, BC ARIS Files 208A and 211A).

In 1959, the Sunro Mine 5100 Level was geologically mapped, started in a gabbro body containing a 35 feet thick quartz porphyry body with faulted contacts, then cut basalt, then another gabbro body, and then basalt again. The Cave Zone was cut at 6,900 feet and the River Zone at 7,400, with the Central Zone in between (BC Annual Report 1959, Property File ID 15103).

In 1960, Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd. obtained an operating lease from Sunro Mines Ltd. and The Consolidated Mining and Smelting Company of Canada, Ltd. to remove ore from the Cave, Central and River Zones, and began to reopen the underground workings (BC Annual Report 1960, BC Property File ID 13620, ID 15099). In 1961, Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd. completed

substantial development and mine construction both on surface and underground at the Sunro Mine, including 840 feet of drifting and crosscutting, 925 feet of raising, and excavation and partial installation of the underground mill (BC Annual Report 1961).

In 1962, construction at the Sunro Mine was completed and production began in May at a milling rate of 600 tons per day increasing to 1,000 tons per day by the end of December. The major focus of development work and ore removal was the River Zone, with 2,485 feet of drifting, 2,774 feet of raising, 93 feet of shaft raising, 23,697 feet of diamond drilling in 157 holes, 192,667 tons of ore mined, and 144,009 tons of ore milled to produce 10.148 tons of copper concentrate. Copper concentrate was loaded into 5 ton containers in the mill, trammed in flat rail cars to the portal, and trucked to the loading-dock at Hatch Point, from where it was shipped to Japan. Mill tailings were pumped in a 6 inch plastic pipe-line to the portal, and then pumped 5,000 feet for disposal at tidewater. The River Zone strikes west of north, dips 75 degrees west, has widths up to 100 feet and a strike length of 1,100 feet, and follows three principal sets of fractures (BC Annual Report 1962, BC Property File ID 15080, and ID 15111).

In 1963, development at the Sunro Mine included sinking of the No.1 shaft to 486 feet below the 5100 Level, and raising of the shaft 50 feet above the level, 2,703 feet of raising, 3,394 feet of drifting, 13,954 feet of diamond drilling in 116 holes, and 79,134 feet of long-hole drilling. The River Zone was again the major focus of development and ore removal, with 340,142 tons of ore mined and 270,142 tons of ore milled to produce 15,782 tons of copper concentrate. In July, H. Hill and L. Starck & Associates Ltd. completed an economic report on the Sunro Mine for Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd., outlining proposed development and mining of the River A, B and C Zones based on proven and indicated ore reserves of 1,695,000 tonnes grading 1.57% copper after allowing for 5% dilution grading 0.1% copper (Hill, H. and Starck, L., 1963, BC Property File ID 72591). On December 5, caving of the crown pillar of River Zone B Stope near the 5,430 elevation caused water from the Jordan River to flow into and through the mine and mill, stopping production (BC Annual Report 1963). Cowichan Copper produced a set of cross sections through the River Zone of Sunro Mine, showing the relationship of stope blocks to the mineralized zones (BC Property File ID 13621 and ID 13622). Also in 1963, Macsan Explorations Limited completed detailed geological mapping on their EXT Group of mineral claims adjacent to and partly surrounding the Sunro Mine Property to the east, north and west. Macsan found widespread but marginal to sub-marginal pyrrhotite-magnetite-chalcopyrite mineralization in hornblende and pegmatitic alteration zones associated with extensive shearing and brecciation, generally at shear intersections (Malcolm, D.C., BC ARIS File 544).

In early January 1964, the Sunro Mine 5100 Level Adit caved at a fracture zone 1,700 feet from the portal and blocked the adit, causing the flood water from the Jordan River flowing into the

collapsed River Zone B Stope to back up through the fracture zone about 330 feet to surface resulting in a major washout which damaged the access road to the portal and some of the surface infrastructure of the mine. Mine rehabilitation work continued throughout 1964 (BC Annual Report 1964). Cowichan Copper produced a longitudinal section of the River Zone of the Sunro Mine with and without ore reserves as of January 1964 totaling 1,054,412 tons grading 1.74 percent copper in all categories (BC Property File ID 12758, ID 13623). In October, H. Hill and L. Starck & Associates Ltd. completed an updated economic report on the Sunro Mine for Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd., describing the River, Cave, Centre and New Zones, with total broken, proven and indicated ore reserves of 1,555,674 tonnes grading 1.65% copper with no allowance for dilution (Hill, H. and Starck, L., 1964, BC Property File ID 72627). Also in 1964, Newconex Canadian Exploration Ltd. completed geological, geophysical and geochemical surveys on the large Ren Group of mineral claims held by Macsan Explorations Ltd., located northwest of the Sunro Mine Property. Several isolated and generally low grade copper occurrences containing pyrite-pyrrhotite-chalcopyrite were located, and further work was not recommended (Culbert, R.R., 1964, BC ARIS File 549).

The Sunro Mine 5100 Level was reopened in August 1965 by completing six separate by-passes totaling 1,100 feet to avoid caved areas, and the underground mill and surface infrastructure were refurbished. Underground development on the River Zone included 72 feet of drifting, 551 feet of raising, and 2,773 feet of diamond drilling. No mining was done but 2,968 tons of ore was milled to produce 96 tons of concentrate, which was not shipped (BC Annual Report 1965). In 1965, Macsan Explorations Limited produced a regional geological map of the Jordan River – Sooke Area (Macsan, 1965, BC Property File ID 15079).

In 1966, the Sunro Mine development and ore removal focused on the River Zone, including 1,587 feet of drifting and crosscutting, 1,592 feet of raising, 6,947 feet of underground diamond drilling (BC Annual Report 1966). In 1967, 5,187 feet of drifting, and 2,066 feet of raising were completed at the Sunro Mine (BC Annual Report 1967). In 1968, operatorship of the Sunro Mine changed from Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd. to Cerna Copper Mines Limited. Underground development consisted of 1,903 feet of drifting and crosscutting, 1,492 feet of raising and 377 feet of diamond drilling, and production from the Sunro Mine ceased and the mine closed on November 1, 1968 (BC Annual Report 1968).

In 1969, Dison Development Ltd. obtained an operating lease from Sunro Mines Ltd., and commenced plant maintenance and shaft dewatering (BC Geology Exploration and Mining 1969). In early April 1969, a sketch map with notes was produced based on the Sunro Mine 5130 Level plans showing proposed development on the 5200 Level required to access the River A, Cave, New, Hanna and other zones (author unknown, BC Property File ID 72621). Also in April 1969, diagrammatic sections showing proposed trackless mining system for the

Cowichan Mine – Jordan River (formerly Sunro) Mine was completed for Cerna Copper Mines Ltd (Pringle, D.W. & Associates, April 1969, BC Property File 72623). Also in April 1969, Bacon & Crowhurst Ltd. completed an economic report and follow-up letter on the Cowichan Mine – Jordan River for Largo Mines Ltd. (N.P.L.), outlining proposed development and mining of the Cave A and B Zones based on drill indicated, probable and possible ore reserves of 1,365,900 tonnes grading 1.02% copper after allowing for 25% dilution grading 0.2% copper (Crowhurst, J.J., Phendler, R.W. and Delane, G.D., 1969, BC Property File ID 72619 and ID 72620). Page 29 of the report shows a sketch plan of up to 12 (Ore) Zones plus one Anomaly on the Cowichan Mine – Jordan River Property, reproduced as Figure 5 with the locations of some of the New Sunro Property claim perimeters shown.

In 1970, Dison Development Ltd. and Pechiney Development Limited continued rehabilitation and development work at the Cowichan Mine – Jordan River (formerly Sunro) Mine, including 1,550 feet drifting and cross-cutting, 108 feet raising and 1,648 feet diamond drilling (BC Geology Exploration and Mining, 1970). Also in 1970, Quintana Minerals Corporation completed geological mapping and soil geochemistry on their large 112 claim Mead Group located one to six miles northwest of the Cowichan Mine – Jordan River Property. Quintana found pyrite-pyrrhotite-chalcopyrite mineralization in disseminated form usually near gabbro and feldspathic stringers in areas of most intense shearing, but mineralized zones up to a few hundred feet in length and 15 feet in width generally containing less than 2% total sulphides (Gordon, T.M. and Malone, A.S., 1970, BC ARIS File 2229). Also in 1970, Western Mines Limited completed geological mapping, and an I.P. geophysical survey on their Roed Property covering the Jan Claim Group, located immediately northeast of the Cowichan Mine – Jordan River Property. Western Mines located a 2,000 feet long by 700 feet wide East-West trending I.P. anomaly crossing the BC Hydro Penstock Tunnel (Lloyd, J., 1970, BC ARIS File 2928).

In 1971, Dison Development Ltd. and Jordan River Mines Ltd. continued rehabilitation and development work at the Sunro Mine, including 2,399 feet drifting and crosscutting, 226 feet raising, 3,612 tons slashing, and 6,015 feet diamond drilling (BC Geology Exploration and Mining, 1971). Also in 1971, a composite surface and underground geology map and section along the 5130 Main Adit were completed, showing diamond drilling, development, stopes and interpreted mineralization including the River, Cave, Centre, New, Hanna, Stewart, Bend and Turnbull Zones (Meusy, G. , 1971, BC Property File ID 15102, ID 15085).

In 1972, Pechinay Development Ltd. and Jordan River Mines Ltd. resumed ore extraction, milled 126,000 tons, shipped 1,849 tons of concentrate, and continued development at the Sunro Mine. This included 6,367 feet drifting and crosscutting, 789 feet raising, 36,919 tons slashing, 20,693 feet in 150 holes diamond drilling, underground geological mapping, and surface magnetometer and soil geochemistry surveys (BC Geology Mining and Exploration, 1972).

In 1973, Pechinay Development Ltd. and Jordan River Mines Ltd. continued ore extraction, milled 273,628 tons, shipped 9,137 tons of concentrate, and continued development at the Sunro Mine. This included 2,927 drifting and crosscutting, 886 feet raising, 682 feet ramping, 19,051 feet in 108 holes diamond drilling, underground geological mapping, and surveying of surface and underground workings (BC Geology Mining and Exploration, 1973). Also in 1973, the Jordan River Syndicate optioned the Loss and Wolf claim groups, located immediately northwest of and adjacent to the Sunro Mine Property, to Kismet Mining Corporation Ltd., and completed geophysical and geochemical surveys which yielded 14 anomalous areas on the Loss Group and 2 on the Wolf Group (White, G.E. and Parent, D., 1973, BC ARIS File 4104). This was followed by additional geophysical and geochemical surveys by the Jordan River Syndicate on the western portion of the Loss Group of claims, located 6 miles west of the Sunro Mine Property, which yielded 4 anomalous areas, including one near a pyrite-chalcopyrite vein occurrence from which sampling yielded 0.17% copper over 10 feet (White, G.E., and Cruz, E.D., 1973, BC ARIS File 4751).

In 1974, Dison International Ltd. and Jordan River Mines Ltd. continued ore extraction, milled 241,504 tons, and shipped 8,146 tons of concentrate at the Sunro Mine, until production ceased and the mine closed December 3, 1974. During the year, development work included 2,576 feet drifting and crosscutting, 374 feet raising, and 6,829 diamond drilling before being curtailed in August, 1974 (BC Geology Exploration and Mining 1974). In 1975, the Sunro Mine shipped 213 tons of concentrate from stockpiles (BC Geology Exploration and Mining 1975).

In 1977, inspection of the Sunro Mine discovered two major caves had occurred in the 5100 Level adit at the locations of the first two of six bypasses driven in 1965, at approximately 1,700 and 2,500 feet from the portal. The B.C. Ministry of Mines instructed Cominco Ltd. (now Teck) to construct a plug on the 5100 Level to prevent any future danger of flooding through the level. Cominco Ltd. created a choke-blasted rock plug approximately 6,600' from the portal, and various timber barricades were installed at eight surface entries to the mine and at several others within it (Richardson, A.J., 1977, BC Property File ID 15086). Also in 1977, Chatham Resources Ltd. completed a soil geochemistry survey over the central part of their Wolf mineral claims, located 3 to 4 miles northwest of the Sunro Mine Property, and located a narrow, north-south trending copper in soil anomaly about 1,000 feet in length open to the north (White, G.E., 1977, BC ARIS File 6272). Also in 1977, Serem Ltd. completed a pulse EM geophysical survey on their Juan mineral claims, located 1 to 3 miles northwest of the Sunro Mine Property, but no anomalies were found (White, G.E., 1977, BC ARIS Report 6517). Also in 1977, geological mapping of the Juan mineral claims held by Serem Ltd., M. Cloutier and P. Tegert was completed, but no significant mineralization was found (Ronning, P.A., 1977, BC ARIS File 6592).

In 1978 the Sunro Mine shipped 9 tons of concentrate from stockpiles (BC Geology Exploration and Mining 1978). During its intermittent operating life between 1962 and 1978, the Sunro Mine produced 1,329,034 tonnes yielding 13,754,271 kilograms of copper, 203,101 grams of gold and 2,262,651 grams of silver, averaging 1.03% copper, 0.153 grams per tonne gold and 1.70 grams per tonne silver (BC MINFILE 092C 073 Sunro).

In 1979, Westmount Resources Ltd. completed a soil geochemistry survey over their Fox mineral claims, located 3 to 4 miles northwest of the Sunro Mine Property, and located a discontinuous trend of elevated copper values across the south side of the claims (White, G.E., 1979, BC ARIS File 7814).

In 1980, Kargen Development Corporation completed a ground EM geophysics and soil geochemistry survey over the John 1 -2 mineral claims, located 6 km northwest of the Sunro Mine Property, BC. The EM survey located a 1,700 metre long, east-west trending conductor, but the soil geochemistry survey may have been compromised by inliers of post-mineralization age Carmanah Group sedimentary rocks unconformably masking possible responses from the prospective underlying units (White, G.E., 1980, BC ARIS File 8208). Later in 1980, Oliver Resources Limited completed a ground magnetic and vertical pulse EM survey over the same John 1-2 claims, which further defined the 1,700 metre long conductor, including interpreted cross faulting (Pezzot, E.T. and White, G.E., 1980, BC ARIS File 8860).

In 1984, Kargen completed soil geochemistry, ground magnetic and EM surveys over the expanded John mineral claims. The EM survey located several conductors with locally elevated copper soil values downslope, and a separate southwest trending, 1 km. long, gold soil anomaly open to the northeast (White, G.E., 1984, BC ARIS File 12612).

In 1988, sampling was conducted by the University of Victoria, the Geological Survey of Canada and Memorial University of Newfoundland of off-shore heavy mineral deposits along the south coast of Vancouver Island from Sooke to Port Renfrew, with results still pending at the time of publication (Kilby, C.E., et al, 1988, BC Geological Fieldwork 1988). In 1989, the BC Geological Survey completed stream moss mat geochemistry sampling across southern Vancouver Island (Gravel, J.L., Jackaman, W., and Matysek, P.F., BC Geological Fieldwork 1989).

In 2000, the BC Southwest Regional Geologist visited and collected rock samples from several mineralized surface exposures at the Sunro past producer BC MINFILE 092C 073. Four outcrop rock grab samples yielded values of 0.17 to 2.4 percent copper, 0.02 to 1.3 ppm gold and 0.06 to 8.4 ppm silver, with occasionally elevated values in molybdenum, nickel, cobalt, selenium, tellurium and/or palladium. A float rock grab sample from the Sunro 5100 Level adit dump yielded greater than 10% copper and elevated values in similar metals as the rock samples (Houle, J., 2000, BC Property File ID 15096, ID 15089).

In 2003, Silver Eagle Enterprises completed a small ground magnetic survey for R. Strong on Mr. Strong's DS claim group, located 6 to 8 km. northwest of the former Sunro Mine Property. The survey was very focused over the site of the DS Pit copper occurrence BC MINFILE 092C 171, but appears to show a magnetic low response over the area of the pit, which contains copper mineralization (Black, J., September 2003, BC ARIS File 27232).

Also in 2003, Discovery Consultants completed a stream sediment geochemistry survey on Emerald Fields Resource Corp. and Gary Pearson's Karen Property located 2 to 6 km northwest of Jordan River, which yielded highly elevated values of copper, gold, silver, cobalt, nickel and/or mercury in heavy mineral concentrates from creeks draining the southern side of the property (McKinley, S.D. and Gilmour, W.R., October 2003, BC ARIS File 27280).

In 2004, A. Kikauka completed geological and rock and soil geochemical surveys on his Sunloch Claim, covering the core area over the past producer Sunro MINFILE 092C 073. Known mineralized showings were relocated including the River, Cave, Bend, Winkler, Tiger, Yellow Cliff Zones, and highly elevated values of copper, nickel, cobalt and gold in both rocks and soils were obtained (Kikauka, A., August 2004, BC ARIS File 27472).

In 2007, C.J. Billingsley acquired cell mineral claim 564267 of the New Sunro Property, sold it to K.B. Funk in 2010, who in turn sold it to B.S. Olsen in May, 2014, along with thirteen of the fourteen other claims of the Property.

In 2008, R. Krause completed a small soil geochemistry survey for R. Strong on Mr. Strong's DS claim group, located 6 to 8 km. northwest of the former Sunro Mine Property, but no elevated values were obtained (Krause, R., January 2009, BC ARIS File 30545). The DS claims were subsequently acquired by or optioned to New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd.

In 2009, New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd. completed rock sampling and assaying from the 15 by 20 metre DS Pit east wall and surrounding rubble material. Five composite rock grab samples from the east wall yielded values of 0.27 to 3.6 % copper and 0.10 to 7.3 g/t gold; fifteen rubble grab samples yielded values of 0.11 to 9.7% copper and 0.01 to 4.3 g/t gold; many of the twenty sampled also yielded elevated values of silver, nickel, cobalt, iron, vanadium and sulphur (Krause, R.G., January 2010, BC ARIS File 31362).

In 2010, New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd. completed geological mapping on the DS claim group, confirming the presence of Metchosin Volcanics covering most of the claims, with a Sooke Gabbro body mapped in the southeast portion. The DS pit copper-gold occurrence occurs near the northwest trending and northeast dipping contact between intermediate and mafic volcanics, suggesting a possible volcanogenic environment, although mineralogy is similar to that found at the Sunro past producer (Krause, R.G., January 2011, BC ARIS File 31997).

In 2012, New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd. completed a diamond drilling program on the DS claim group, consisting of 241 metres in 2 holes to test an I.P. chargeability anomaly located 600 metres northeast of the DS pit, based on a 2010 geophysical survey included in the report, but no mineralization was encountered (Krause, R.G., November 2012, BC ARIS Report 33480).

In 2013, H. Burke acquired cell mineral claim 1022415 of the New Sunro Property, and transferred it to B.S. Olsen in May, 2014.

In 2014, J. Houle completed underground rock sampling for B. Olsen in the former mine workings at the past producer Sunro. Five grab samples of sulphide mineralization exposed in the underground ribs of drifts in the Cave Zone yielded values of 3.6 to 16.2% copper, 7.3 to 20 g/t silver and 0.05 to 4.0 g/t gold; and two grab samples from broken muck in underground draw points in the Cave Zone yielded 1.9 to 6.3% copper, 3.4 to 8.4 g/t silver and 0.24 to 0.33 g/t gold. Some samples also yielded elevated values of bismuth, cobalt, nickel, vanadium and zinc (Houle, J. 2014, New Sunro Copper Ltd. files).

List of claims and work completed

From May 14 to September 28, 2015 the author managed both an airborne geophysical survey and a satellite digital elevation survey, completed and submitted a Notice of Work Application, and completed a NI43-101 Technical Report for the New Sunro Property. From January 16 to January 18, 2016 the author completed this technical assessment work to compile and submit all the 2015 technical data, which covers all the 14 cell claims of the New Sunro Property.

From June 9 to 10, 2015 the magnetic and radiometric airborne geophysical survey was completed by Precision GeoSurveys Inc., and the data and technical report issued to the Client on July 7, 2015, which appears in Appendix 1. From June 1 to July 20, 2015 the digital elevation model was completed along within a new GIS project by Auracle Remote Sensing Inc., and the data issued to the Client on July 20, 2015, for which an image appears in Appendix 2. From June 4 to July 21, 2015 the author completed and issued the NI43-101 Technical Report to the client. From September 10 to 28, 2015 the author completed and submitted the Notice of Work Application to Front Counter BC in Nanaimo, and to the BC Mines Inspector in Victoria.

Technical Data, Interpretation, Conclusions and Recommendations

Over 100 years of research, exploration, development and mining of mineral deposits on the area of the New Sunro Property has identified a cluster of up to twenty mineralized zones, sub-zones or anomalies over an area of 1.5 km. by 1.5 km. The pioneering research completed by

the Geological Survey of Canada from 1908 to 1913 on the geology of southern Vancouver Island and copper mineralization in the Sooke Area led to initial exploration work in the Jordan River area and discovery of copper mineralization at the Sunloch Property by G. Winkler in 1916. Mr. Winkler then successfully explored the adjacent Gabbro Property in 1919. Also in 1919, the Geological Survey of Canada completed a comprehensive study of the geological setting and copper mineralization in the Sunloch Copper District, published in 1920.

From 1916 to 1929, junior mining company Sunloch Mines and subsequently major mining company Consolidated Mining, Smelting, and Power Company of Canada (now Teck Resources) explored the Sunloch Property, while Gabbro Copper Mines Limited and subsequently Pacific Tidewater Company (subsidiary of British Metal Corporation, now AMC PCL) explored the Gabbro Property. However, neither the Sunloch nor the Gabbro Property achieved production, and the Jordan River area saw little exploration activity during the period of 1930 to 1948.

From 1949 to 1950, mining company Hedley Mascot Gold Mines Ltd. acquired both the Sunloch and Gabbro properties and completed extensive and successful exploration work, but did not bring either property into production. After a period of inactivity from 1951 to 1954, major mining company Noranda Exploration Company, Ltd. explored the Gabbro Property in 1955. In 1956 the Sunloch and Gabbro Properties were consolidated under the name of Sunro Mines Ltd., followed by extensive exploration, development and subsequent mining by Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd. and other mine operators intermittently from 1962 to 1978.

In the Jordan River area surrounding the former Sunro Mine Property, early stage exploration activity occurred in several pulses over time from 1958 to the present. Indications of primarily copper mineralization have been discovered and documented in BC Assessment Reports and in five showings in BC MINFILE, in geological settings similar to that of the New Sunro Property.

Exploration work completed in the area of the New Sunro Property was done primarily between 1916 and 1955, after which virtually all efforts were focused exclusively on development and production. Exploration work completed in the surrounding Jordan River area was done primarily between 1970 and 1984, and consisted of short, sporadic efforts on different claim groups by different owners and operators, but often using the same geoscientists with local experience. Historical geological mapping, ground geophysics, and geochemical sampling of stream sediments, soils, outcrops, trenches, drifts, and drill core was generally done either by, or under the supervision of, qualified geoscientists engaged by the operators at the time the work was done using industry standard techniques of those times.

Since the last significant exploration programs occurred on the area covered by and surrounding the New Sunro Property, prices for the principal target commodity copper, and also for gold, silver, cobalt, nickel, tellurium, palladium and platinum have all increased. The

understanding of mineral deposits by economic geologists has improved substantially, and industry standard exploration and mining techniques have improved dramatically. New Sunro Copper Ltd. has completed a limited amount exploration work to date, consisting of the focused underground sampling completed by the author in 2014, and the airborne magnetic and radiometric geophysical survey and satellite digital elevation survey completed in 2015 over the New Sunro Property. To assist New Sunro Copper Ltd. with financing exploration of the New Sunro Property, the author completed a NI43-101 technical report dated July 21, 2015, which is repeated in part in this technical assessment report. To permit New Sunro Copper Ltd. to undertake mechanized surface exploration on the New Sunro Property, the author completed and submitted a Notice of Work Application dated September 11, 2015 to Front Counter BC and the BC Mines Inspector, with confirmation received on September 14, 2015.

The 2015 airborne geophysical survey completed by Precision GeoSurveys Inc. collected both magnetic and radiometric data. The author imported 3 types of grid file data from the survey (total field magnetic, vertical magnetic gradient and radiometric potassium) into the Geosoft Target software program, along with georeferenced historic map data to produce Figures 3d to 3i inclusive, and Figures 4d to 4i inclusive. Figures 3a to 3c inclusive and Figures 4a to 4c inclusive show the selected georeferenced historic map data without the geophysical data. The airborne geophysical survey report, methods, specifications and maps are presented in Appendix 1. Key observations by the author based on these figures are suggested as follows:

- All known mineralized zones occur along at a flexure in the central gabbro unit mainly straddling the northeast and southwest contacts with the surrounding volcanics, forming 2 clusters of geological targets (GO and G1) shown in Figures 3c and 4c.
- Both developed mineralized zones (River and Cave) occur within an area of very low magnetic response flanked by an area of very high magnetic response (M0) which extends to the northeast and to the southeast as shown in Figures 3e and 4e, and most of the other mineralized zones occur along the flanks of 2 aeromagnetic lows (M0 and M1); another aeromagnetic low (M2) located within the central gabbro in the southeast part of the Property contains no known targets.
- Both developed mineralized zones (River and Cave) occur within an area of very low vertical magnetic gradient (V0) which extends to the southeast as shown in Figures 3g and 4g, and most of the other mineralized zones occur along the flanks of 4 areas of low vertical magnetic gradient (V0, V1, V2 and V3); 2 other areas of low vertical magnetic gradient (V4 and V5) located within the central gabbro in the southeast part of the Property contains no known targets.

- Most of the mineralized zones occur along the flanks of 2 areas of radiometric potassium-equivalent high response (K0 and K1) as shown in Figures 3i and 4i; 3 other areas of high radiometric potassium-equivalent response containing no known targets occur to the southeast within the central gabbro (K2), to the south between the central and south gabbros (K3) and to the east straddling the southwest contact of the central gabbro (K4).

The 2015 satellite digital elevation model (DEM) completed by Auracle Remote Sensing Inc. was done to provide an accurate georeferenced surface for surveying historic and recent work and also for planning future exploration and development work on the New Sunro Property. The DEM was completed using both 2 m. and 5 m. resolution, and it was intended to incorporate the 2015 DEM and geophysical data together, but the DEM data files are too large to manage effectively in normal computer work stations. An image of the 5 m. resolution DEM data appears in Appendix 2 for comparison with Figure 3a showing similar data except the DEM data instead of TRIM topographic data.

The New Sunro Property warrants modern 3-D compilation and integration of key historical and new data, and systematic multi-year exploration programs. The 2015 airborne geophysical survey and satellite digital elevation survey by New Sunro Copper Ltd. represent a good start in exploring the Property. The high grade polymetallic values achieved in rock samples taken in 2014 by the author from the underground workings in the Cave Zone suggest the possibility of more selective, multi-element mineral resource modeling, estimation and extraction, compared to copper-only focus by previous operators, particularly with current and future metal prices.

The New Sunro Property should first be re-evaluated based on its regional geological setting compared to other similar settings worldwide which host past or currently producing mines, with consideration to mineral deposit types and models. Today's geological literature is much more extensive than it was at the times when the New Sunro area was being actively explored. In the author's opinion, some of the key points to consider in such a comparison would be:

- magmatic sulphide-rich nickel-copper deposits related to mafic dike-sill complexes
- massive to semi-massive sulphide deposits within shears and fault zones
- copper-rich, nickel-poor variations in these magmatic deposits, or zones within them

Using today's and projected future estimates of metal prices for copper, silver, gold, nickel, cobalt, PGE's, selenium and tellurium, reasonable exploration target models should be established for the Property. An investigation should be made of current mining and processing techniques, and capital and operating costs at operations exploiting similar deposits worldwide,

focusing on both selective narrow and bulk underground operations. In the author's opinion, the following combined exploration target models could be used as a starting point:

- Steeply-dipping, lens-shaped but clustered deposits totaling 2.5 million tonnes @ 1% copper, 1 g/t silver, and 0.1 g/t gold, requiring bulk underground mining, and simple crushing, grinding and flotation for recovery of a single copper concentrate
- Steeply-dipping, irregular-shaped but clustered, deposits totaling 0.5 million tonnes @ 5% copper, 5 g/t silver, and 0.5 g/t gold, requiring selective underground mining, and simple crushing, grinding and flotation for recovery of a single copper concentrate

The extensive historical data record available for the New Sunro Property needs to be compiled into a single G.I.S.-based, 3-D model, and all rock units used by different operators need to be integrated into single, coherent geological legend. All accessible underground workings and surface entries need to be surveyed, geo-referenced and integrated into the 3-D model. The 2015 airborne magnetic data needs to be appropriately inverted and integrated into the 3-D model, and the magnetic and radiometric survey products displayed onto a bare earth surface model for the entire New Sunro Property. At the end of the process, both property wide and detailed plan and section views should be available for any selected portions of the property showing any and all combinations of historic geology, geochemistry, geophysics (by type), trenching, drilling, and excavations. Using this geo-referenced 3-D database, well-conceived surface and underground exploration programs should be permitted, funded and initiated.

A phased, systematic exploration program is warranted on the property to achieve the following primary exploration objectives, in the author's opinion:

- Establish measured and indicated resources in the Cave, Centre and New Zones, and remaining portions of the River Zone, by re-mapping and re-sampling all safely available exposed mineralization, surface definition drilling, and detailed interpretation
- Establish inferred resources on the other eleven known zones or anomalies documented on the Property, by re-locating exposures and conducting appropriate surface ground exploration work, possibly including geology, geochemistry, geophysics and drilling
- Discover new economic mineral deposits of any type on the property through systematic, phased exploration initially based on following up geophysical targets

Also, the author recommends the following environmental and socio-economic programs be initiated to complement the exploration and environmental objectives:

- Obtain 5 year area-based exploration permit from the BC Government


- Establish baseline environmental database using historic and modern data
- Identify, negotiate and establish contract, employment and other co-operation agreements with local First Nations bands and nearby communities
- Negotiate and establish access road, surface and other co-operation agreements with local surface rights holders and any other valid title holders
- Negotiate and establish work progress update protocols with local recreation and conservation groups and nearby communities

The following Phase 1 combined compilation, planning, exploration, environmental and socio-economic programs and budgets are proposed for the first year at the New Sunro Property:

Table 2 – Proposed Work Program and Budget Summary

Item	Description	Units/Timing	Unit Cost	Item Cost
Re-evaluation	Mining Geol./Eng.	1 month	\$10,000 / month	\$10,000
3-D GIS Compilation	GIS Technician	2 months	\$7,500 / month	\$15,000
Magnetic inversion	Geophysicist	1 month	\$10,000 / month	\$10,000
Plan Exploration	Project Geologist	1.5 months	\$10,000 / month	\$15,000
Subtotal	Compile & Plan	Months 1-2		\$50,000
Cave,New,Centre,River	Surface Work	4 months	\$100,000 /month	\$400,000
Subtotal	Exploration Phase 1a	Months 3-6		\$400,000
Cave,New,Centre,River	Underground Work	6 months	\$100,000/ month	\$600,000
10 other targets	Surface Work	4 months	\$100,000 /month	\$400,000
New targets	Underground Work	6 months	\$100,000 /month	\$600,000
Subtotal	Exploration Phase 1b	Months 7-12		\$1,600,000
Environmental	Baseline Program	10 months	\$5,000 / month	\$50,000
Road Use, Surface	Agreements	10 month	\$5,000 / month	\$50,000
First Nations	Agreements	10 months	\$5,000 / month	\$50,000
Local Communities	Agreements	10 months	\$5,000 / month	\$50,000
Subtotal	Enviro/Socioeconomic	Months 3-12		\$200,000
TOTALS		12 Months		\$2,250,000

Respectfully submitted by:



Jacques Houle, P.Eng.

February 7, 2016

Author's Qualifications

I, Jacques Houle, P.Eng. Do hereby certify that:

I am currently self-employed as a consulting geologist by:

Jacques Houle, P.Eng. Mineral Exploration Consulting

6552 Peregrine Road, Nanaimo, British Columbia, Canada V9V 1P8

I graduated with a Bachelor's of Applied Science degree in Geological Engineering with specialization in Mineral Exploration from the University of Toronto in 1978.

I am a member in good standing with the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of British Columbia, the Society of Economic Geologists, the Association for Mineral Exploration British Columbia, and the Vancouver Island Exploration Group; I am also a member of the Technical Advisory Committee for Geoscience B.C., and of the advisory committee for the Earth Science Department of Vancouver Island University.

I have worked as a geologist for 37 years since graduating from university, including 5 years as a mine geologist in underground gold and silver mines, 15 years as an exploration manager, 3 years as a government geologist and 12 years as a mineral exploration consultant.

I am independent of New Sunro Copper Ltd and Bjorn Olsen, and hold no interest in the subject property of this report.

References

B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines Website references:

Annual Reports:

<http://www.empr.gov.bc.ca/Mining/Geoscience/PublicationsCatalogue/AnnualReports/Pages/default.aspx>

ARIS Reports: <http://www.empr.gov.bc.ca/Mining/Geoscience/ARIS/Pages/default.aspx>

Exploration and Mining:

<http://www.empr.gov.bc.ca/Mining/Geoscience/PublicationsCatalogue/ExplorationinBC/Pages/default.aspx>

Fieldwork: <http://www.empr.gov.bc.ca/Mining/Geoscience/PublicationsCatalogue/Fieldwork/Pages/default.aspx>

MapPlace: <http://www.empr.gov.bc.ca/MINING/GEOSCIENCE/MAPPLACE/Pages/default.aspx>

Mineral Titles Online: <http://www.empr.gov.bc.ca/Titles/MineralTitles/mto/Pages/default.aspx>

MINFILE: <http://www.empr.gov.bc.ca/Mining/Geoscience/MINFILE/Pages/default.aspx>

Sustainability: <http://www.empr.gov.bc.ca/Mining/Sustainability/Pages/default.aspx>

B.C. Forests and Range Integrated Land Management Bureau Website reference:

Gator: [http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/pls/gator/gator\\$queryforms.menu](http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/pls/gator/gator$queryforms.menu)

Front Counter BC Website reference:

Permitting: <http://www.frontcounterbc.ca/guides/mines/notice-of-work/overview/>

Specific references:

B.C. Bureau of Mines, Sunloch Group Sketch of Workings, bar scale, undated but estimated at 1917, BC Property File ID 15071

B.C. Bureau of Mines, Map and Longitudinal Section of Sunloch River Tunnel (showing percent of copper) to accompany Report of W.M. Brewer, Resident Engineer, bar scale, 1918, BC Property File ID 15076

B.C. Bureau of Mines, Workings on Sunloch Mine (showing copper grades) to accompany Report of W.M. Brewer, Resident Engineer, bar scale, 1918, BC Property File ID 15077

B.C. Bureau of Mines, Mineral Claims on the Jordan River to accompany Report of W.M. Brewer, Resident Engineer, bar scale, 1920, BC Property File ID 15106

B.C. Bureau of Mines, Map Showing Surface Development on Property of Gabbro Mines Ltd. (showing sample numbers and copper grades) to accompany Report of W.M. Brewer, Resident Engineer, bar scale, 1921, BC Property File ID 15078

B.C. Bureau of Mines, Geologic and Topographic Map of a portion of the Gabbro and Sunloch Properties (showing all important surface development), 1"=200' scale, April 1921, BC Property File ID 15104 and 15110

B.C. Dept. of Mines, 5100 Level Sunro Mine (map of geological structures), bar scale, July 1958, BC Property File ID 15075

B.C. Dept. of Mines, 5100 Level Sunro Mine (copied map of geological structures with coloured geology), 1"=40' scale, 1959, BC Property File ID 15103

B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Acme File # A001308 (showing sample numbers and multi-element geochemistry data), and sample location and description data by Houle, J., April-May 2000, BC Property File ID 15089 and 15096

Black, J., Geophysical Survey on the DS Claim Group, Jordan River Area for Silver Eagle Enterprises and Strong, R., September 2003, BC ARIS File 27232

Clapp, C.H., Geological Reconnaissance Southern Vancouver Island Map 17A to accompany Memoir No. 13, Geological Survey of Canada, 1911.

Clapp, C.H. Southern Vancouver Island, Memoir No. 13, Geological Survey of Canada, 1912

Cooke, H.C., Geology of the Sooke Special Map Area, Vancouver Island BC, Geological Survey of Canada Summary Report 1913.

Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd., Sunro Mine Geology (showing composite levels and projections of geological contacts, mineralized zones and faults), 1"=40' scale, November 1960, BC Property File ID 15099

Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd., Sunro Mine 5300 Level (showing dyke and ore), 1"=20' scale, July 1962, BC Property File ID 15080

Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd., Sunro Mine Longitudinal Section, 1"=40' scale, January 1964, BC Property File ID 12758

Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd., Sunro Mine Cross Sections 15+00 to 19+00, 1"=40' scale, October 1963, BC Property File ID 13621 and 13622

Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd., Sunro Mine Longitudinal Section, Ore Reserves – River Zone, 1"=40' scale, January 1964, BC Property File ID 13623

Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd., Sunro Mine 5130 Level – River, Cave and Hanna Zones, 1"=80' scale, April 1969, BC Property File ID 72621

Crowhurst, J.J., Phendler, R.W. and Delane, G.D., Report of Cerna Copper Mines Limited Cowichan Copper - Jordan River – Vancouver Island, BC for Largo Mines Ltd. (N.P.L.), April 1969, BC Property File ID 72619

Crowhurst, J.J., Letter to Largo Mines Ltd. (N.P.L.) clarifying assumptions in Report, April 1969, BC Property File ID 72620

Culbert, R.R., A Geological, Geophysical and Geochemical Report on the Ren Group of Mineral Claims, Jordan River, BC for Newconex Canadian Exploration Ltd., November 1963 to February 1964, BC ARIS File 549

Dolmage, V., Sunloch Copper District, BC, in GSC Summary Report 1919, Part B, p.20-30, 1920

Erich, E.E., and Blanchard, R., Geological Map of the Jordan River Area, 1"=1000' scale, April 1921, BC Property File ID 15088

Erich, E.E., and Blanchard, R., Geological Map of the Jordan River Area Showing Proposed Road to the Sunloch Mine, 1"=1000' scale, April 1921, BC Property File ID 15072

Erich, E.E., and Blanchard, R., Surface Development Gabbro Claims – Vancouver Island, 1"=40' scale, April 1921, BC Property File ID 12756 and 15095

Gatenby, L.B., Geological, Geochemical and Geophysical Report on the Conrad A-D, Bob A-B Groups for Rio Canadian Exploration Ltd., Jordan River, BC, May 1958, BC ARIS File 208A

Gatenby, L.B., Geological, Geochemical and Geophysical Report on the Ann, Don, Jo A-D Groups for Rio Canadian Exploration Ltd., Jordan River, BC, June 1958, BC ARIS File 211A

Gordon, T.M. and Malone, A.S., Geological and Geochemical Report on the Mead Claim Group, Victoria Mining Division, for Quintana Minerals Corporation, January 1970, BC ARIS File 2229

Green, F.C., Mineral Claims on Jordan River, Renfrew District BC, 1"=1000' scale, undated, Property File ID 15105

Green, F.C., Mineral Claims on Jordan River, Renfrew District BC, 1"=300' scale, undated, Property File ID 15084

Green, F.C., and Menzies, M.M., Index Map Gabbro Mines Ltd. (showing surveyed drill holes), 1"=300' scale, July 1955, BC Property File ID 15107 and 15109

Hedley Mascot Gold Mines Ltd., Caulfield-Tiger-Winkler Zones, August 1949, 1"=40' scale, BC Property File ID 13618

Hill, H. and Starck, L. & Associates Ltd., Report on Cowichan Copper Co. Ltd. Sunro Mine, Jordan River BC, July 1963, BC Property File ID 72591

Hill, H. and Starck, L. & Associates Ltd., Report on Cowichan Copper Co. Sunro Mine, Jordan River, BC, October 1964, BC Property File ID 72627

Houle, J., 2014 Rock Sample and Geochemistry Data, May 2014, New Sunro Copper Ltd. Files

Houle, J. Report of Physical Exploration and Development on Tenures 564267 and 663263 for Olsen, B., July 2014, New Sunro Copper Ltd. Files

Kikauka, A., Geological and Geochemical Report on the Sunloch Claim, Jordan River, BC, April 2004, BC ARIS File 27472

Krause, R.G., Geochemical Assessment Report on the DS Claim Group, Victoria Mining Division, January 2009, BC ARIS File 30545

Krause, R.G., Geochemical and Prospecting Assessment Report on the 2009 Exploration Program on the DS Claim Group, Victoria Mining Division, Jordan River Area, January 15, 2010, BC ARIS File 31362

Krause, R.G., Assessment Report on the 2010 Exploration Program on the DS Claim Group, Victoria Mining Division, Jordan River Area, for New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd., January 2011, BC ARIS File 31997

Krause, R.G., Diamond Drilling Assessment Report on the 2011 Exploration Program on the DS Claim Group, Victoria Mining Division, Jordan River Area, for New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd., November 2012, BC ARIS File 33480

Lloyd, J., A Geophysical Report on an IP Survey on the Roed Copper Prospect, Jordan River, Vancouver Island for Western Mines Limited by Eagle Geophysics Limited, November 1970, BC ARIS File 2928

McKinley, S.D. and Gilmour, W.R. Geological, Geochemical and Geophysical Assessment Report on the Karen Property, Victoria Mining Division, for Emerald Fields Resource Corporation and Pearson, G., October 2003, BC ARIS File 27280

Macsan Exploration, Jordan River – Sooke Area Vancouver Island Geology, 1:50,000 scale, January 1965, BC Property File ID 15079

Malcolm, D.C., Geological Report on the EXT Group, Jordan River, BC for Macsan Exploration Ltd., November 1963, BC ARIS File 544

Menzies, M.M., Geochemical Survey of the Chef Group and Chef No. 2 Group, Jordan River, BC for Gabbro Mines Limited, June-July, 1955, BC ARIS File 118

Meusy, G., Surface Geology of the Sunro Mine, 1"=100' scale, August 1971, BC Property File ID 15102

Meusy, G., Geological Section along 5130 Main Adit, 1"=100' scale, October 1971, BC Property File ID 15085

Pezzot, E.T. and White, G.E., Geophysical Report on a Magnetometer and Vector Pulse EM Survey on the John1 and 2 mineral claims, Victoria Mining Division, for Oliver Resources Limited, June 1980, BC ARIS File 8860.

Pringle, D.W., Diagrammatic Sections Showing Ore Zones and Proposed Development at Cowichan Mine - Jordan River for Cerna Copper Mines Ltd., April 1969, BC Property File ID 72623

Richardson, A.J., Central Mine Engineering, Report on the Closing of the Jordan River Mine of Sunro Mines Ltd., June 1977, BC Property File ID 15086.

Ronning, P.A., Geology of the Juan Claims Area on Jordan Ridge, Victoria Mining Division, BC for owners Cloutier, M., Serem Limited and Tegart, P., December 1977, BC ARIS File 6592

Schultz, K.J., Chandler, V.W., Nicholson, S.W., Piatak, N., Seal II, R.R., Woodruff, and L.G., Zientak, M.L., Magmatic Sulfide-Rich Nickel-Copper Deposits Related to Picrite and (or) Tholeiitic Basalt Dike-Sill Complexes: A Preliminary Deposit Model, USGS Open File Report 2010-1179, 2010

Schultz, K.J., Woodruff, L.G., Nicholson, S.W., Seal II, R.R., Piatak, N.M., Chandler, V.W., and Mars, J.L., Occurrence Model for Magmatic Sulfide-Rich Nickel-Copper-(Platinum-Group Element) Deposits Related to Mafic and Ultramafic Dike-Sill Complexes, USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2010-5070-1, 2010.

Starr, C.C., and Frith, O.D., Report on Examination of the Gabbro Mine, Jordan River, BC for Porcupine Goldfields D. & F. Co., Ltd., June 1926, BC Property File ID 15097

Starr, C.C., and Frith, O.D., Map of Cave and Turnbull Zones on the Gabbro Mine (showing sample number, width and percent copper), 1"=100' scale, June 1926, BC Property File ID 15100 and 15112

Starr, C.C., and Frith, O.D., Map of Caulfield Zone on the Gabbro Mine (showing sample number, width and percent copper), 1"=100' scale, June 1926, BC Property File ID 15101 and 15112

Sunro Mine, Key Map Showing Claims and Grid, 1"=200' scale, November 1960, BC Property File ID 13620

Sunro Mine, Underground Assay Sample Locations (showing width, gold, silver and copper values), 1"=40' scale, 1962, BC Property File ID 15111

Toombs, R.B., A Mineralographic Study of Sunloch Copper Ore, The University of British Columbia, April 1951, BC Property File ID 59782

White, G.E. and Parent, D., Geophysical and Geochemical Report on Loss and Wolf Claims for River Jordan Syndicate and Kismet Mining Corporation Ltd., January 1973, BC ARIS File 4104

White, G.E. and Cruz, E., Geochemical and Geophysical Report on Loss Mineral Claims for River Jordan Syndicate, December 1973, BC ARIS File 4751

White, G.E., Geochemical Report on Wolf Claims, Jordan River Area for Chatham Resources Ltd., May 1977, BC ARIS File 6272



White, G.E., Geophysical Report for a Pulse EM Survey on Juan Mineral Claims, Jordan River Area, for Serem Ltd., May 1977, BC ARIS File 6517

White, G.E., Geochemical Report on the Fox Mineral Claim, Jordan River Area, Victoria Mining Division for Westmount Resources Ltd., November 1979, BC ARIS File 7814


White, G.E., Geochemical and Geophysical Report on the John 1-2 Mineral Claims, Jordan River Area, Victoria Mining Division for Kargen Development Corp., March 1980, BC ARIS File 8208

White, G.E., Geophysical-Geochemical Report on the John 1-7 Mineral Claims, Jordan River Area, Victoria Mining Division for Kargen Development Corp., June 1984, BC ARIS File 12612

Zientek, M.L., Magmatic Ore Deposits in Layered Intrusions – Descriptive Model for Reef-Type PGE and Contact-Type Cu-Ni-PGE Deposits, USGS Open File Report 2010-1010, 2010.





SCALE 1 : 250,000



**Southern Vancouver Island
Infrastructure - Figure 1b**








SCALE 1 : 250,000



**Southern Vancouver Island
Geology - Figure 1c**






**Southern Vancouver Island
1st Vertical Derivative
Aeromagnetics - Figure 1d**



New Sunro Property



Legend

- MINFILE Status**
- ✠ Producer
 - ✠ Past Producer
 - ✠ Developed Prospect
 - All others
- Land Designations**
- Indian Reserves
 - National Parks
 - Conservancy Areas
 - Parks
 - Federal Transfer Lands
- Mineral Tenure (current)**
- Mineral Claim
 - Mineral Lease
- Mineral Reserves (current)**
- Placer Claim Designation
 - Placer Lease Designation
 - No Staking Reserve
 - Conditional Reserve
 - Release Required Reserve
 - Surface Restriction
 - Recreation Area
 - Others
- Other Land Designations**
- First Nations Treaty Related Lands
 - First Nations Treaty Lands
 - Survey Parcels
 - BCGS Grid
- Contours (1:250K)**
- Contour - Index
 - Contour - Intermediate
 - Area of Exclusion
 - Area of Indefinite Contours





Scale: 1:50,000


Map center: 48° 26' 47" N, 124° 2' 23" W

This map is a user generated static output from an Internet mapping site and is for general reference only. Data layers that appear on this map may or may not be accurate, current, or otherwise reliable. THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION.

New Sunro Property Mineral Titles - Figure 2a



SCALE 1 : 50,000



New Sunro Property Geology - Figure 2c



Parks Layers

- Provincial Parks & Protected Areas (<1M)

Mineral Inventory Layers

- ARIS number label
- ✕ ■ MINFILE number label
- ✕ Developed Prospect
- ✕ Past Producer
- ✕ Producer
- Prospect
- Showing
- All Others

Mineral Titles Layers



- MTO Mineral Titles Online Labels <200K
- Coal
- Placer
- Mineral
- Other

Topographic Layers

- Contours east 1:20K (<100K)
- Large Rivers 1:50K (<300K)
- Lake 1:20k
- Rivers 1:20K (<100K)
- BC Ocean

Geophysical Layers

- 1st Vertical Derivative Magnetic Field (<500K)



**New Sunro Property 1st Vertical Derivative
Aeromagnetics - Figure 2d**










Figure 3a

-  Mineralized Zones (from Cerna Copper Mines Ltd. by Bacon & Crowhurst Ltd., April 1969)
-  Rock Samples Taken by BC SW Regional Geologist Jacques Houle, April 2000
-  GPS Locations Taken for New Sunro Mines by Jacques Houle, April 2014

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
New Sunro Property Mineralized Zones
<i>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</i>














Figure 3b

-  Gabbro Bodies from Geological Map of the Jordan River Area (Erich & Blanchard, April 1921)
-  Mineralized Zones (from Cerna Copper Mines Ltd. by Bacon & Crowhurst Ltd., April 1969)
-  Rock Samples Taken by BC SW Regional Geologist Jacques Houle, April 2000
-  GPS Locations Taken for New Sunro Mines by Jacques Houle, April 2014

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
New Sunro Property Mineralized Zones and 1921 Geology
<i>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</i>



-  **G0** Geological Targets for New Sunro by Houle, September 2015
-  Gabbro Bodies from Geological Map of the Jordan River Area (Erich & Blanchard, April 1921)
-  Mineralized Zones (from Cerna Copper Mines Ltd. by Bacon & Crowhurst Ltd., April 1969)
-  Rock Samples Taken by BC SW Regional Geologist Jacques Houle, April 2000
-  GPS Locations Taken for New Sunro Mines by Jacques Houle, April 2014






Figure 3c


New Sunro Copper Ltd.
New Sunro Mineralized Zones and Geological Targets
<i>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</i>



Mineralized Zones (from Cerna Copper Mines Ltd. by Bacon & Crowhurst Ltd., April 1969)

Figure 3d

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
Total Magnetic Intensity & Mineralized Zones
Jacques Houle P.Eng.



53324.3 53556.8 53669.0 53738.7 53789.2 53848.1 53918.9 53982.1 54043.3 54105.0 54186.3 54295.8 54527.6

Total Magnetic Intensity (nT)







Figure 3e

-  Total Magnetic Intensity Targets for New Sunro by Houle, September 2015
-  Gabbro Bodies from Geological Map of the Jordan River Area (Erich & Blanchard, April 1921)
-  Mineralized Zones (from Cerna Copper Mines Ltd. by Bacon & Crowhurst Ltd., April 1969)

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
Total Magnetics, Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geology
<i>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</i>







Figure 3f

 Mineralized Zones (from Cerna Copper Mines Ltd. by Bacon & Crowhurst Ltd., April 1969)

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
Calculated Vertical Magnetic Gradient & Mineralized Zones
Jacques Houle P.Eng.










Figure 3g

-  Vertical Magnetic Gradient Targets for New Sunro by Houle, September 2015
-  Gabbro Bodies from Geological Map of the Jordan River Area (Erich & Blanchard, April 1921)
-  Mineralized Zones (from Cerna Copper Mines Ltd. by Bacon & Crowhurst Ltd., April 1969)

<p>New Sunro Copper Ltd.</p> <p>V.Mag.Grad. Targets, Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geology</p> <p>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</p>
--



Radiometric Potassium-Equivalent Concentration (%K)



Mineralized Zones (from Cerna Copper Mines Ltd. by Bacon & Crowhurst Ltd., April 1969)




Figure 3h

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
Radiometric Potassium Equivalent % & Mineralized Zones
<i>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</i>





Figure 3i

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
Potassium Targets, Mineralized Zones & 1921 Geology
Jacques Houle P.Eng.









Figure 4b



Geological Contacts from Erich & Blanchard, 1921
 Geological Units from BC MapPlace








-  Geological Targets for New Sunro by Houle, Sept. 2015
-  Mineralized Zones by Bacon & Crowhurst, April 1969
-  Rock Samples for BC MEM by Houle, April 2000
-  GPS Locations for New Sunro by Houle, April 2014


Figure 4c

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
Central Area Zones & Geological Targets
<i>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</i>



Geophysical Data for New Sunro by
Precision Geosurveys, July 2015

Scale 1:10000




(meters)
NAD83 / UTM zone 10N



Mineralized Zones by Bacon & Crowhurst, April 1969

Figure 4d

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
Central Area Magnetic Intensity & Zones
<i>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</i>



Geophysical Data for New Sunro by Precision Geosurveys, July 2015
 Scale 1:10000
 100 0 100 200 300 (meters)
 NAD83 / UTM zone 10N


MO Magnetic Intensity Targets for New Sunro by Houle, September 2015
 Mineralized Zones by Bacon & Crowhurst, April 1969

Figure 4e

New Sunro Copper Ltd.


Central Area Magnetic Intensity Targets

Jacques Houle P.Eng.



Geophysical Data for New Sunro by Precision Geosurveys, July 2015

Scale 1:10000




(meters)
NAD83 / UTM zone 10N

Magnetic Gradient Targets for New Sunro by Houle, September 2015


 Mineralized Zones by Bacon & Crowhurst, April 1969

Figure 4f

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
Central Area Magnetic Gradient & Zones
<i>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</i>



Geophysical Data for New Sunro by
Precision Geosurveys, July 2015
Scale 1:10000



(meters)
NAD83 / UTM zone 10N



Magnetic Gradient Targets for New
Sunro by Houle, September 2015





Mineralized Zones by Bacon & Crowhurst, April 1969

Figure 4g

New Sunro Copper Ltd.


Central Area Magnetic Gradient Targets

Jacques Houle P.Eng.



Geophysical Data for New Sunro by Precision Geosurveys, July 2015

Scale 1:10000





(meters)
NAD83 / UTM zone 10N

 Mineralized Zones by Bacon & Crowhurst, April 1969

Figure 4h

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
Central Area Radiometric Potassium & Zones
<i>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</i>




Geophysical Data for New Sunro by
Precision Geosurveys, July 2015

Scale 1:10000

100 0 100 200 300
(meters)

NAD83 / UTM zone 10N



**Radiometric Potassium Targets for New Sunro
by Houle, September 2015**

Mineralized Zones by Bacon & Crowhurst, April 1969

Figure 4i

New Sunro Copper Ltd.
Central Area Radiometric Potassium Targets
<i>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</i>

Appendix 1

Airborne Geophysical Data

AIRBORNE GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORT



New Sunro Survey Block Prepared for New Sunro Copper Ltd.

Jenny Poon, P. Geo.
Precision GeoSurveys Inc.
www.precisiongeosurveys.com
520-355 Burrard St., Vancouver, BC
Canada V6C 2G8
604-484-9402

June 2015

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Survey Area.....	2
1.2	Survey Specifications.....	4
2.0	Geophysical Data.....	5
2.1	Magnetic Data.....	5
2.2	Radiometric Data.....	6
3.0	Survey Operations.....	6
3.1	Operations Base and Crew.....	6
3.2	Base Station Specifications.....	7
3.3	Field Processing and Quality Control.....	9
4.0	Aircraft and Equipment.....	11
4.1	Aircraft.....	11
4.2	Equipment.....	12
4.2.1	AGIS.....	12
4.2.2	Magnetometer.....	12
4.2.3	Spectrometer.....	13
4.2.4	Base Stations.....	14
4.2.5	Laser Altimeter.....	15
4.2.6	Pilot Guidance Unit.....	16
4.2.7	GPS Navigation System.....	16
5.0	Data Acquisition Equipment Checks and Calibration.....	17
5.1	Magnetometer Checks.....	17
5.1.1	Compensation Flight Test.....	17
5.1.2	Lag Test.....	18
5.1.3	Heading Error Test.....	18
5.2	Gamma-ray Spectrometer Checks and Calibrations.....	19
5.2.1	Calibration Pad Test.....	19
5.2.2	Cosmic Flight Test.....	19
5.2.3	Breckenridge Test Range.....	20
6.0	Data Processing.....	20
6.1	Magnetic Processing.....	20
6.1.1	IGRF Removal and Calculation of the First Vertical Derivative.....	21
6.2	Radiometric Processing.....	21
7.0	Deliverables.....	23
7.1	Digital Data.....	23
7.2	KMZ Grids.....	24
7.3	Maps.....	24
7.4	Report.....	25

Appendix A: Equipment SpecificationsA-1

Appendix B: Digital File DescriptionsB-1

Appendix C: New Sunro Survey Block MapsC-1

List of Figures

Figure 1: New Sunro survey block location map.....1

Figure 2: New Sunro survey block boundary in red; west of Victoria, BC.....2

Figure 3: Plan View –New Sunro survey block boundary in red with flight lines displayed in yellow.....3

Figure 4: Terrain View – New Sunro survey block with flight lines displayed in yellow...3

Figure 5: Survey map of New Sunro survey block area showing proposed survey and tie lines. The survey block boundary in brown, the survey lines in blue, and the tie lines in red.....4

Figure 6: Map showing base of operation at Precision GeoSurveys’ hangar located at Pitt Meadows regional airport northeast of the New Sunro survey block; red boundary.....6

Figure 7: GEM 1 (left) and GEM 4 (right) magnetic base station located within the survey block.....8

Figure 8: GEM 1 and GEM 4 magnetic base station locations within the survey block on google earth.....8

Figure 9: Histogram showing survey elevation vertically above ground.....10

Figure 10: New Sunro survey block – Actual flight lines displayed in purple and areas that were flown over height specification or lines adjusted to avoid residences, ranches, power lines, and livestock are highlighted within the red circles.....10

Figure 11: Eurocopter AS350 helicopter is equipped with mag stinger for magnetic data acquisition, and internal spectrometer crystals for radiometric data acquisition11

Figure 12: AGIS operator display installed in the Eurocopter AS350, with screen displaying real time flight line recording and navigation parameters.....12

Figure 13: View of the mag stinger.....13

Figure 14: GRS-10 Thallium-activated Sodium Iodide spectrometer crystal pack. The open unit on the right shows two individual 4.2 liter detectors.....14

Figure 15: GEM GSM-19T proton precession magnetometer.....15

Figure 16: Opti-Logic RS800 laser altimeter.....15

Figure 17: Pilot Guidance Unit.....16

Figure 18: Hemisphere R220 GPS Receiver.....17

Figure 19: Heading data results in .tbl format in Geosoft table.....19

List of Tables

Table 1: New Sunro survey area flight line specifications.....4
Table 2: New Sunro survey block polygon coordinates using WGS 84 in zone 10N.....5
Table 3: Base station specifications.....7
Table 4: Contract re-flight specifications.....9
Table 5: Figure of Merit maneuver test results for compensation flight flown on June
10, 2015 at an area north of Stave Lake, BC.....18
Table 6: Heading error test data format flown on June 10, 2015 north of the New
Sunro survey block area.....18

1.0 Introduction

This report outlines the geophysical survey operations and data processing procedures taken during the high resolution airborne magnetic and radiometric survey flown at the New Sunro survey block for New Sunro Copper Ltd. The New Sunro survey block area is 49.5 km west of Victoria, BC and covers a total of 13.2 km² (Figure 1). The geophysical survey was started on June 9, 2015 and completed on June 10, 2015.



Figure 1: New Sunro survey block location map.

1.1 Survey Area

The New Sunro survey block is approximately 49.5 km west of Victoria, BC (Figure 2). The block covers an irregular area of 4.2 km by 4.1 km and its survey plan includes 52 survey lines and 5 tie lines at 100 and 1000 m spacing respectively for a total of 149 line km.




Figure 2: New Sunro survey block boundary in red; west of Victoria, BC.

The New Sunro survey block was flown at 100 meter spacing at a $045^{\circ}/225^{\circ}$ heading; the tie lines were flown at 1000 meter spacing at a heading of $135^{\circ}/315^{\circ}$ (Figures 3 and 4). Several survey lines were adjusted to avoid homes, and power lines, and to mitigate livestock harassment. An extra, 1.9 line km of data were collected on several survey and tie lines extending outside the survey block. As a result, the total line km flown for the entire survey block remains the same as planned, a total of 149 line km.



Figure 3: Plan View –New Sunro survey block boundary in red with flight lines displayed in yellow.



Figure 4: Terrain View – New Sunro survey block with flight lines displayed in yellow.

1.2 Survey Specifications

The geodetic system used for this survey is WGS 84 and the area is contained in zone 10N. A total of 149 line km was flown (Figure 5). The survey data acquisition specifications and coordinates for the survey are specified as follows (Tables 1 and 2).




Figure 5: Survey map of New Sunro survey block area showing proposed survey and tie lines. The survey block boundary in brown, the survey lines in blue, and the tie lines in red.

Block Name	Area (km ²)	Line Type	Planned No. of Lines	Planned Line Spacing (m)	Line Orientation	Nominal Survey Height (m)	Actual Survey Height (m)	Total Planned Line km	Total Actual km Flown
New Sunro	13.2	Survey	52	100	045°/225°	40	37.8	134	134
		Tie	5	1000	135°/315°	40	37.3	15	15
		Total:	57						149

Table 1: New Sunro survey area flight line specifications.

Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	N/S	E/W
124.06399384	48.46224848	421343	5368226	N	W
124.04528760	48.46233894	422726	5368217	N	W
124.04523603	48.46641489	422736	5368670	N	W
124.02033295	48.46653941	424577	5368659	N	W
124.02021476	48.44984270	424561	5366803	N	W
124.00769010	48.44976413	425487	5366782	N	W
124.00772753	48.43320095	425460	5364941	N	W
124.03281714	48.43307745	423604	5364952	N	W
124.03266716	48.42901230	423609	5364500	N	W
124.05149954	48.42907565	422216	5364526	N	W
124.05160180	48.43730669	422221	5365441	N	W
124.06397469	48.43738187	421306	5365462	N	W

Table 2: New Sunro survey block polygon coordinates using WGS 84 in zone 10N.

2.0 Geophysical Data

Geophysical data are collected in a variety of ways and are used to aid in determination of geology, mineral deposits, oil and gas deposits, geotechnical investigations, contaminated land sites and UXO detection.

For the purposes of this survey, airborne magnetic and radiometric data were collected to serve in the exploration for gold-copper deposits.

2.1 Magnetic Data

Magnetic surveying is probably the most common airborne survey type to be conducted for both mineral and hydrocarbon exploration. Aeromagnetic surveys measure and record the total intensity of the magnetic field at the magnetometer sensor, which is a combination of the desired magnetic field generated in the Earth as well as tiny variations due to the temporal effects of the constantly varying solar wind and the magnetic field of the survey aircraft. By subtracting the solar, regional, and aircraft effects, the resulting aeromagnetic map shows the spatial distribution and relative abundance of magnetic minerals (most commonly the iron oxide mineral magnetite) in the upper levels of the Earth's crust. The type of survey specifications, instrumentation, and interpretation procedures depend on the objectives of the survey. Typically magnetic surveys are performed for:

1. Geological Mapping - to aid in mapping lithology, structure and alteration.
2. Depth to Basement Mapping - for exploration in sedimentary basins or mineralization associated with the basement surface.

2.2 Radiometric Data

Radiometric surveys detect and map natural radioactive emanations, called gamma rays, from rocks and soils. All detectable gamma radiation from earth materials come from the natural decay products of three primary radioelements: uranium (U), thorium (Th), and potassium (K). The purpose of radiometric surveys is to determine either the absolute or relative amounts of U, Th, and K in surface rocks and soils which are then useful in mapping lithology, alteration, and structure.

3.0 Survey Operations

Precision GeoSurveys flew the survey out of Pitt Meadows, BC. The experience of the pilot helped to ensure that the data quality objectives were met and that the safety of the flight crew was never compromised given the potential risks involved in airborne geophysical surveying. Field processing and quality control checks were done daily.

3.1 Operations Base and Crew

The base of operation for this survey was at Precision GeoSurveys' hangar located at Pitt Meadows regional airport, 129.5 km northeast of the New Sunro survey block (Figure 6).




Figure 6: Map showing base of operation at Precision GeoSurveys' hangar located at Pitt Meadows regional airport northeast of the New Sunro survey block; red boundary.

The Precision geophysical crew consisted of four members:

Harmen Keyser – Pilot
 Erik Keyser – Geophysical technician
 Trevor Hansen – Ground support
 Jenny Poon – Geophysicist and data processor

The survey was started on June 9, 2015 and completed on June 10, 2015. The survey encountered a small delay due to equipment malfunction.

3.2 Base Station Specifications

Base station magnetometers were set up before the survey to record diurnal magnetic variations during the survey flights. In this case, two GEM GSM 19T base stations were used (see Table 3), GEM 1 and GEM 4 were located in the bushes within the New Sunro survey block (Figures 7 and 8).

Station name	Easting/ Northing	Longitude/ Latitude	Datum/ Projection
GEM 1 (Serial # 8052735)	0423998E, 5366211N	124° 01' 39.79" W 48° 26' 40.02" N	WGS 84, Zone 10N
GEM 4 (Serial # 2065370)	0423999E, 5366214N	124° 01' 39.76" W 48° 26' 40.13" N	WGS 84, Zone 10N

Table 3: Base station specification.

Base station readings were reviewed at regular intervals to ensure that no data were collected during periods of high diurnal magnetic activity (greater than 5 nT per minute). The magnetic base stations were installed at a magnetically noise-free area, away from metallic items such as ferromagnetic objects, vehicles, or power lines that could affect the base station or survey data.



Figure 7: GEM 1 (left) and GEM 4 (right) magnetic base stations located within the survey block.



Figure 8: GEM 1 and GEM 4 magnetic base station locations within the survey block on google earth.

The diurnal magnetic variations recorded by the stationary base stations were removed from the magnetic data recorded in flight to ensure that the anomalies seen were real and not due to solar activity. On this survey, the magnetic data recorded by GEM 4 were used for diurnal corrections and GEM 1 was used as a backup.

3.3 Field Processing and Quality Control

On a flight-by-flight basis, the survey data were transferred from the helicopter's data acquisition system onto a USB flash drive and copied onto a field data processing laptop. The raw data files were in PEI binary data format and were converted into Geosoft GDB database format. Using Geosoft Oasis Montaj 8.3.3, the quality of the data was inspected to see if it met the contract specifications (Table 4). Navigational accuracy (left/right or up/down) for all survey and tie lines were within contract specifications (Figure 10), and no re-flights were required due to navigational error. All suspect anomalies, especially those found on a single flight line, were re-flown for confirmation. Re-flight lines were a minimum of 2000 m long, so that survey line re-flights crossed at least two tie lines, and tie line re-flights crossed at least 10 survey lines.

Specification	Parameter	Details
Line Spacing	Position	Flight line deviation from flight path by more than 10 m left/ right for 1 km or more.
Height		Nominal flight height of 40 m above ground. Flight height deviation by more than 10 m up/down with a for 1 km or more, provided line deviation from height is not due to tall trees, topography, cultural features, mitigation of livestock harassment, or other obstacles beyond the pilot's control.
GPS		Any flight lines where 3 or less GPS satellites received for distances of greater than 1 km, provided signal loss is not due to topography.
Diurnal Variations	Magnetics	Non-linear magnetic diurnal variations exceed 10nT from a linear chord of length one (1) minute.
Normalized 4 th Difference		Magnetic data exceeding 0.30 nT peak to peak for distances greater than 1 km or more (provided noise is not due to geological or cultural features).

Table 4: Contract re-flight specifications.




Figure 9: Histogram showing survey elevation vertically above ground.

To mitigate harassment of livestock and to avoid homes, and power lines and other cultural features (Figure 10) within the survey block area, several flight lines deviated off course from height and some flight lines were adjusted.




Figure 10: New Sunro survey block – Actual flight lines displayed in purple and areas that were flown over height specification or lines adjusted to avoid residences, ranches, power lines, and livestock are highlighted within the red circles.

4.0 Aircraft and Equipment

All geophysical and subsidiary equipment are carefully installed on Precision GeoSurveys aircraft. For this survey, a magnetometer, a spectrometer, a data acquisition system, laser altimeter, magnetic compensation system, a pilot guidance unit (PGU), a GPS navigation system, and magnetic base stations were required to carry out the survey and collect quality, high resolution data. The survey magnetometer was carried in an approved “stinger” configuration to enhance flight safety and improve data quality.

4.1 Aircraft

Precision GeoSurveys flew the New Sunro survey block using a Eurocopter AS350 helicopter (Figure 11), registration C-GOHK. The survey lines were flown at a nominal line spacing of one hundred (100) meters spacing and the tie lines were flown at one thousand (1000) meters spacing for both the magnetometer and spectrometer.



Figure 11: Eurocopter AS350 helicopter is equipped with mag stinger for magnetic data acquisition, and internal spectrometer crystals for radiometric data acquisition.

4.2 Equipment

4.2.1 AGIS

The Airborne Geophysical Information System, AGIS, (Figure 12), is the main computer used in data recording, data synchronizing, displaying real-time quality control data for the geophysical operator, and the generation of navigation information for the pilot and operator display system. Information such as magnetic field, total gamma count, counts of various radioelements (K, U, Th, etc.), temperature, cosmic radiation, barometric pressure, atmospheric humidity and survey altitude can all be monitored on the AGIS on-board display for immediate quality control.



Figure 12: AGIS operator display installed in the Eurocopter AS350, with screen displaying real time flight line recording and navigation parameters.

The AGIS was manufactured by Pico Envirotec and uses standardized Pico software. External sensors are connected to the system via RS-232 serial communication cables. The AGIS data format is converted into Geosoft or ASCII file formats by a conversion program called PEIView. Additional Pico software allows for post or real time magnetic compensation and survey quality control procedures.

4.2.2 Magnetometer

The airborne magnetic sensor used by Precision GeoSurveys is a Scintrex cesium vapor CS-3 magnetometer. The system was housed in a front mounted “stinger” (Figure 13). The CS-3 is a high sensitivity/low noise magnetometer with automatic hemisphere switching and a wide voltage range, the static noise rating for the unit is +/- 0.01 nT. On the AGIS monitor the operator can view the raw magnetic response, the magnetic fourth difference, compensated and uncompensated data, aircraft position, and the survey

altitude for immediate QC (quality control) of the magnetic data. The magnetic data are recorded at 10 Hz. A fluxgate magnetic compensator is also used to remove noise created by the movement of the helicopter as it pitches, rolls and yaws within the Earth's geomagnetic field.



Figure 13: View of the mag stinger.

4.2.3 Spectrometer

The IRIS, or Integrated Radiometric Information System, is a fully integrated, gamma radiation detection system containing 8.4 litres of NaI (T1) synthetic downward looking crystals and 4.2 litres of NaI (T1) synthetic upward looking crystals (Figure 14) with 256 channel output at 1 Hz sampling rate. The downward-looking crystals are designed to measure gamma rays from below the aircraft and are equipped with upward-shielding high density RayShield® gamma-attenuating blankets to minimize cosmic and solar gamma noise. The upward looking crystal measures solar gamma radiation from above the survey helicopter and a 6 mm thick lead plate is used for downward-shielding. Real time data acquisition, navigation and communication tasks are integrated into a single unit that is installed in the rear cabin of the aircraft.



Figure 14: GRS-10 Thallium-activated Sodium Iodide spectrometer crystal pack. The open unit on the right shows two individual 4.2 liter detectors.

4.2.4 Base Stations

For monitoring and recording of the Earth's diurnal magnetic field variation, Precision GeoSurveys operates two GEM GSM-19T magnetometer base stations continuously throughout the airborne data acquisition operation. The base stations were positioned within the survey block, hidden within the trees and in a region with low magnetic gradient, to give accurate magnetic field readings. The base stations were located in an area away from electric transmission power lines and moving ferrous objects, such as motor vehicles that could affect the survey data integrity.

The GEM GSM-19T magnetometer with integrated GPS (Figure 15) time synchronization uses proton precession technology with a 0.5 Hz sampling rate. The GSM-19T has an accuracy of +/- 0.2 nT at 1 Hz. Base station data are recorded on the internal solid-state memory, and downloaded onto a field laptop computer using a serial cable and GEMLink 5.0 software. Profile plots of the base station readings are generated and updated at the end of each survey day.



Figure 15: GEM GSM-19T proton precession magnetometer.

4.2.5 Laser Altimeter

The pilot is provided with terrain guidance and clearance information from an Opti-Logic RS800 laser altimeter (Figure 16). This is attached at the aft end of the magnetometer boom. The RS800 sensor is a time-of-flight sensor that measures distance by a rapidly-modulated and collimated laser beam that creates a dot on the target surface. The maximum range of the laser altimeter is 700 m off of natural surfaces with an accuracy of +/- 1 meter on 1 x 1 m² diffuse target with 50% (+/- 20%) reflectivity. Within the sensor unit, reflected signal light is collected by the lens and focused onto a photodiode. Through serial communications and digital outputs, the ground clearance data are transmitted to an RS-232 compatible port and recorded and displayed by the AGIS and PGU at 10 Hz in meters.




Figure 16: Opti-Logic RS800 laser altimeter.

4.2.6 Pilot Guidance Unit

The PGU (Pilot Guidance Unit) is a graphical display type unit that provides continuous steering and elevation information to the pilot (Figure 17). It is mounted remotely from the data system on top of the helicopter's instrument panel. The PGU assists the pilot in keeping the helicopter on the flight path and at the desired ground clearance.



Figure 17: Pilot Guidance Unit.

The LCD monitor measures 7 inches, with a full VGA 800 x 600 pixel display. The CPU for the PGU is housed in the PC-104 console and uses Windows XP Embedded operating system control, with input from the GPS antenna, laser altimeter, and AGIS.

4.2.7 GPS Navigation System

A Hemisphere R220 GPS receiver (Figure 18) navigation system integrated with the pilot display (PGU) and AGIS provided navigational information and control. The R220 GPS receiver features RTK (Real Time Kinematic) for fast, reliable, and long range centimeter level performance. It employs COAST technology that allows continuous operation for at least 40 minutes during temporary signal outages.



Figure 18: Hemisphere R220 GPS Receiver.

It can track GPS, SBAS (Satellite-Based Augmentation System), and L-Band (OmniSTAR HP and XP) differential corrections to provide high precision positioning.

5.0 Data Acquisition Equipment Checks and Calibration

Airborne equipment tests were conducted at the start of the survey. There are three tests conducted for the airborne magnetometer: compensation flight, lag test, and heading error test. Gamma ray spectrometer checks and calibrations are also conducted prior to the start of the survey. The three spectrometer tests were the calibration pad test, cosmic flight test, and the Breckenridge test range.

5.1 Magnetometer Checks

5.1.1 Compensation Flight Test

During aeromagnetic surveying a small but significant amount of noise is introduced to the magnetic data by the aircraft itself, as the magnetometer is within the helicopter's magnetic field. Movement of the aircraft (roll, pitch and yaw) and the permanent magnetization of certain aircraft parts (engine and other ferrous magnetic objects) contribute to this noise. To remove noise generated by the aircraft a process called magnetic compensation is implemented. The magnetic compensation process starts with a test flight at the beginning of the survey where the aircraft flies in the four orthogonal headings required for the survey (045°/225° and 135°/315° in the case of this survey) at a sufficient altitude (typically > 1,500 m AGL) where the Earth's magnetic field becomes nearly uniform at the scale of the compensation flight (Table 5). In each heading direction, three specified roll, pitch, and yaw maneuvers are performed by the pilot at

constant elevation so that any magnetic variation recorded by the airborne magnetometer can be attributed to the aircraft movement. The variations recorded by these maneuvers provide the data that are required to calculate the necessary parameters for compensating the magnetic data and removing the aircraft noise.

Pre-Compensation					Post-Compensation				
Heading	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	Total	Heading	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	Total
042°	6.5959	4.4690	2.6761	13.7410	042°	0.2850	0.4338	0.3012	1.0200
133°	8.1204	5.5318	2.0161	15.6683	133°	0.2304	0.2574	0.2553	0.7431
225°	4.5556	3.6947	2.1940	10.4443	225°	0.2997	0.2670	0.3452	0.9119
312°	4.1109	2.1079	1.2940	7.5128	312°	0.3302	0.3084	0.3174	0.9560
Total	23.3828	15.8034	8.1802		Total	1.1453	1.2666	1.2191	
FOM = 47.3664 nT					FOM = 3.6310 nT				

Table 5: Figure of Merit maneuver test results for compensation flight flown on June 10, 2015 at an area north of Stave Lake, BC.

5.1.2 Lag Test

A lag test was performed to determine the relationship between the time the digital reading was recorded by the instrument magnetic sensor and the time for the position fix that the fiducial of the reading was obtained by the GPS system.

The test was flown in the four orthogonal headings over an identifiable magnetic anomaly (ie. Truck, Trailer, etc.) at survey speed and height. A lag of 7 fiducials (0.7 seconds) was determined from the lag test.

5.1.3 Heading Error Test

To determine the magnetic heading effect a cloverleaf pattern flight test was conducted. The cloverleaf test was flown in the same orthogonal headings as the survey and tie lines (045°/225° and 135°/315° at >1000 m AGL in an area with low magnetic gradient. For all four directions the survey helicopter must pass over the same mid-point all four times at the same elevation (Table 6 and Figure 19).

Line Number	Fiducials	Heading	Mag (nT)	Average (nT)
L045	607.6	NE – 045°	53892.8282	
L135	869.8	SE – 135°	53895.8562	
L225	491.5	SW - 225°	53865.0926	
L315	1006.9	NW - 315°	53856.0750	
				53877.463

Table 6: Heading error test data format flown on June 10, 2015 north of the New Sunro survey block area.

```

/Geosoft Heading Correction Table
/
/=Direction:real:i
/=Correction:real
/
/Direction Correction
045    -15.3652
135    -18.3932
225    +12.3704
315    +21.3880

```

Figure 19: Heading data results in .tbl format in Geosoft table.

5.2 Gamma-ray Spectrometer Checks and Calibrations

Pre-survey calibrations and testing of the GRS-10 airborne gamma-ray spectrometry system were carried out prior to the start of the survey. The calibration of the spectrometer system involved three tests which enabled the conversion of airborne data to ground concentration of natural radioactive elements. These tests were the calibration pad test, cosmic flight test, and the Breckenridge test range. The measurements were made in accordance with IAEA technical report series No. 323, “Airborne Gamma Ray Spectrometer Surveying”, and AGSO Record 1995/60, “A Guide to the Technical Specification for Airborne Gamma-Ray Surveys”.

5.2.1 Calibration Pad Test

The calibration pad test was conducted by Pico Envirotec at the GSC (Geological Survey of Canada) testing facility in Ottawa, Ontario over the approved GSC calibration pad. It is a slab of concrete containing known concentrations of the radioelements (K, Th, and U) and is ideally used to simulate a geological source of radiation. The measurements collected from the calibration pad test are used to determine the Compton scattering and Grasty Backscatter (spectral overlap between element windows) coefficients.

5.2.2 Cosmic Flight Test

While the background source of gamma radiation from the aircraft itself is essentially constant, the amount of signal detected from ground sources varies with ground clearance. As the height of the aircraft increases, the distance between the ground and the spectrometer crystals increase, and the proportion of cosmic radiation in each spectral window increases exponentially due to radiation of cosmic origin. The cosmic flight test is conducted to determine the aircraft’s background attenuation coefficients for the detector crystal packs and the cosmic coefficients. The pilot is required to fly over the same location repeatedly in opposite directions starting from 1,500 m to 3,000 m at 500 m intervals for approximately 2

minutes each to collect gamma data used to determine the amount of non-terrestrial gamma signal.

5.2.3 Breckenridge Test Range

The Breckenridge test range is very similar to the cosmic flight test but is conducted at lower elevations (from ground level). The pilot is required to fly over the same location at the following elevations in meters above ground; 30, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, and 300. As the distance of the aircraft increases away from the radioactive ground source, the source signature exponentially degrades. As a result, this test is used to determine the altitude attenuation coefficients and the radio-element sensitivity of the airborne spectrometer system.

6.0 Data Processing

After all the data were collected from a survey flight several procedures were undertaken to ensure that the data met a high standard of quality. All data were processed using Pico Envirotec software and Geosoft Oasis Montaj 8.3.3 geophysical processing software along with proprietary processing algorithms.

6.1 Magnetic Processing

The data obtained from the compensation flight test were applied to the raw magnetic data before any further processing and editing. A computer program called PEIComp was used to create a model from the compensation flight test for each survey to remove the noise induced by aircraft movement; this model was applied to each survey flight so the data could be further processed.

Over glassy water or fog, the laser altimeter is unable to record a valid reading and a zero is recorded; therefore all data points recorded at zero were replaced with a nominal height of 40 m. Filtering was then applied to the laser altimeter data to remove vegetation clutter and to show the actual ground clearance. To remove vegetation clutter a Rolling Statistic filter was applied to the laser altimeter data and a low pass filter was used to smooth out the laser altimeter profile to eliminate isolated noise. As a result, filtering the data will yield a more uniform surface in close conformance with the actual terrain. A digital terrain model channel was calculated by subtracting the filtered laser altimeter data from the filtered GPS altimeter data defined by the WGS 84 ellipsoidal height.

The processing of the magnetic data first involved the correction for diurnal variations. The base station data that were used for the correction came from GEM 4. The diurnal data were edited, plotted and merged into a Geosoft (.gdb) database on a daily basis. The airborne magnetic data were corrected for diurnal variations by subtracting the observed magnetic base station deviations. Following the diurnal correction, a lag correction was applied. A lag correction of 0.7 seconds was applied to the total magnetic field data to compensate for the combination of lag in the recording system and the magnetometer

sensor flying 15.2 m ahead of the GPS antenna. Lastly, a heading correction was applied to the data.

The initial Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) data from the survey and tie lines were used to level the entire survey dataset. Two forms of leveling were applied to the corrected data: conventional leveling and micro-leveling. There were two components to conventional leveling; the first involved statistical leveling of magnetic data to correct miss ties (intersection errors) followed by specific patterns or trends. For the second component, tie lines were brought to a common regional base value using the mean value of the cross-level error. To obtain the best possible leveled data, individual corrections were edited at selected intersections. Lastly, micro-leveling was applied to the corrected conventional leveled data. This will remove any residual noise related to flight line direction, and any low amplitude component of flight line noise, that still remained in the data after tie line leveling.

6.1.1 IGRF Removal and Calculation of the First Vertical Derivative

The International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) model is the empirical representation of the Earth's magnetic field (main core field without external sources) collected and disseminated from satellites and from observatories around the world. The IGRF is generally revised and updated every five years by a group of modelers associated with the International Association of Geomagnetism and Aeronomy (IAGA). In this case, the IGRF values were calculated from the recently updated model (IGRF – 12) year 2015 and the actual survey dates were obtained from the “Date” channel.

With the removal of the IGRF from the observed Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) a Residual Magnetic Intensity (RMI) was generated. This created a more valid model of individual near surface anomalies and the data will not be referenced to a time which can be easily incorporated into databases of magnetic data acquired in the past or in the future.

The first vertical derivative was computed from the Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) data. Long wavelengths and vertical rate of change were suppressed in the magnetic field. Therefore, the edges of magnetic anomalies were highlighted and spatial resolution was increased.

6.2 Radiometric Processing

Radiometric surveys map the concentration of radioelements at or near the earth's surface; typically up to 1.5 meters below surface. Thus, the first step which is vital before processing of the airborne radiometric data was to calibrate the spectrometer system. Once calibration of the system was complete, the radiometric data were processed by windowing the full spectrum to create channels for U, K, Th and total count. A 5-point Hanning filter was applied to the Cosmic window before going any further with processing the radiometric data.

Aircraft background and cosmic stripping corrections were applied to all three elements, and total count using the following formula:

$$C_{ac} = C_{lt} - (a_c + b_c * \text{Cos}_f)$$

where: C_{ac} is the background and cosmic corrected channel
 C_{lt} is the live time corrected channel
 a_c is the aircraft background for this channel
 b_c is the cosmic stripping coefficient for this channel
 Cos_f is the filtered cosmic channel

The radon backgrounds were first removed and followed by Compton stripping. Spectral overlap corrections were applied on to potassium, uranium, and thorium as part of the Compton stripping process. This was done by using the stripping ratios that have been calculated for the spectrometer by prior calibration; this breaks the corrected elemental values down into the apparent radioelement concentrations. Lastly, attenuation corrections were applied to the data which involves nominal survey altitude corrections, in this case 37.69 metres is applied to total count, potassium, uranium, and thorium data.

With all corrections applied to the radiometric data, the final step was to convert the corrected potassium, uranium, and thorium to apparent radioelement concentrations using the following formula:

$$eE = C_{cor} / s$$

where: eE is the element concentration K(%) and equivalent element concentration of U(ppm) & Th(ppm)
 s is the experimentally determined sensitivity
 C_{cor} is the fully corrected channel

Finally, the natural air exposure rate was determined by using the following formula:

$$E = [(13.08 * K + 5.43 * eU + 2.69 * eTh) / 8.69]$$

where: E is the absorption dose rate in $\mu\text{R/h}$
 K is the concentration of potassium (%)
 eU is the equivalent concentration of uranium (ppm)
 eTh is the equivalent concentration of thorium (ppm)

To calculate for radiometric ratios the guidelines of the IAEA were followed. Due to statistical uncertainties in the individual radioelement measurements, some care was taken in the calculation of the ratio in order to obtain statistically significant values. Following IAEA guidelines, the method of determining ratios of the eU/eTh, eU/K and eTh/K was as follows:

1. Any data points where the potassium concentration was less than 0.25% were neglected.
2. The element with the lowest corrected count rate was determined.
3. The element concentrations of adjacent points on either side of each data point were summed until they exceeded a pre-determined threshold value. This threshold was set to be equivalent to 100 counts of the element with the lowest count rate. Additional minimum thresholds of 1.6% for potassium, 20 ppm for thorium, and 30 ppm for uranium were set up to ensure meaningful ratios.
4. The ratios were calculated using the accumulated sums.

With this method, the errors associated with the calculated ratios were minimized and comparable for all data points.

7.0 Deliverables

All digital data are presented on a compact disc (CD) and USB memory stick with the logistic report. The survey data are presented as digital databases, maps, and a report.

7.1 Digital Data

The file format will be provided in two (2) formats, the first will be a .GDB file for use in Geosoft Oasis Montaj, the second format will be a .XYZ file, this is text file. A complete file provided in each format will contain magnetic and radiometric data separately. Full description of the digital data and contents are included in the report (Appendix B).

The digital data are represented into grids. The following grids are prepared for the New Sunro survey block listed below:

- Digital terrain model (DTM)
- Total magnetic intensity (TMI)
- Residual magnetic intensity (RMI) – removal of IGRF from TMI
- Calculated vertical gradient (CVG) - first vertical derivative of TMI
- Potassium – Equivalent Concentration (%K)
- Thorium – Equivalent Concentration (eTh)
- Uranium – Equivalent Concentration (eU)
- Total Count – Equivalent Dose Rate (TCcor)
- Total Count – Exposure Rate (TCexp)
- Potassium over Thorium Ratio (%K/eTh)

- Potassium over Uranium Ratio (%K/eU)
- Uranium over Thorium Ratio (eU/eTh)
- Thorium over Potassium Ratio (eTh/%K)
- Uranium over Potassium Ratio (eU/%K)
- Ternary Map (TM)

7.2 KMZ Grids

The digital data represented into grids were exported into kmz files which can be displayed using Google Earth. The grids can be draped onto topography and rendered to give a 3D view.

7.3 Maps

Digital maps were created for the New Sunro survey block. The following map products were prepared:

Survey Overview Maps (colour images with elevation contour lines):

- Actual flight lines
- Digital terrain model

Magnetic Maps (colour images with elevation contour lines):

- Total magnetic intensity
- Total magnetic intensity with plotted flight lines
- Residual magnetic intensity
- Calculated vertical gradient of the total magnetic intensity

Radiometric Maps (colour images with elevation contour lines):

- Potassium – Equivalent Concentration in Percentage
- Thorium – Equivalent Concentration
- Uranium – Equivalent Concentration
- Total Count – Equivalent Dose Rate
- Total Count – Exposure Rate
- Potassium over Thorium Ratio
- Potassium over Uranium Ratio
- Uranium over Thorium Ratio
- Thorium over Potassium Ratio
- Uranium over Potassium Ratio
- Ternary Map

All maps were prepared in WGS 84 and UTM zone 10N.

7.4 Report

The logistics report provides information on the acquisition procedures, magnetic and radiometric processing, and presentation of the New Sunro survey block data. A pdf copy of the report is included along with the digital data and maps that are provided on the CD and USB stick.

Appendix A

Equipment Specifications

- GEM GSM-19T Proton Precession Magnetometer (Base Station)
- Hemisphere R220 GPS Receiver
- Opti-Logic RS800 Laser Altimeter
- HC-S3 Temperature and Relative Humidity Probe
- Barometric Pressure Setra Model 276
- Scintrex CS-3 Survey Magnetometer
- Bartington Mag-03 three-axis fluxgate magnetic field sensor
- Pico Envirotec GRS-10 Gamma Spectrometer
- Pico Envirotec AGIS data recorder system (for Navigation, Gamma spectrometer, VLF-EM and Magnetometer Data Acquisition)

GEM GSM-19T Proton Precession Magnetometer (Base Station) Specifications

Configuration Options	15
Cycle Time	999 sec to 0.5 sec
Environmental	-40°C to +60°C
Gradient Tolerance	7,000 nT/m
Magnetic Readings	299,593
Operating Range	10, 000 to 120,000 nT
Power	12 V @ 0.62 A
Sensitivity	0.1 nT @ 1 sec
Weight (Console/ Sensor)	3.2 Kg
Integrated GPS	Yes

Hemisphere R220 GPS Receiver Specifications

GPS Sensor	Receiver Type	L1 and L2 RTK with carrier phase	
	Channels	12 L1CA GPS 12 L1P GPS 12 L2P GPS 3 SBAS or 3 additional L1CA GPS	
	Update Rate	10 Hz standard, 20 Hz available	
	Cold Start Time	<60 s	
	Warm Start Time 1	30 s (valid ephemeris)	
	Warm Start Time 2	30 s (almanac and RTC)	
	Hot Start Time	10 s typical (valid ephemeris and RTC)	
	Reacquisition	<1 s	
	Differential Options	SBAS, Autonomous, External RTCM, RTK, OmniSTAR (HP/XP)	
Horizontal Accuracy		RMS (67%)	2DRMS (95%)
	RTK ^{1, 2}	10 mm + 1 ppm	20 mm+2 ppm
	OmniSTAR HP ^{1, 3}	0.1 m	0.2 m
	SBAS (WAAS) ¹	0.3 m	0.6 m
	Autonomous, no SA ¹	1.2 m	2.5 m
L-Band Sensor	Channel	Single channel	
	Frequency Range	1530 MHz to 1560 MHz	
	Satellite Selection	Manual or Automatic (based on location)	
	Startup and Satellite Reacquisition Time	15 seconds typical	
Communications	Serial Ports	2 full duplex RS232	
	Baud Rates	4800 – 115200	
	USB Ports	1 Communications, 1 Flash Drive data storage	
	Correction I/O Protocol	Hemisphere GPS proprietary, RTCM v2.3 (DGPS), RTCM v3 (RTK), CMR, CMR+NMEA 0183, Hemisphere GPS binary	
	Timing Output	1 PPS (HCMOS, active high, rising edge sync, 10kΩ, 10pF load)	
	Event Marker Input	HCMOS, active low, falling edge sync, 10kΩ	
Environmental	Operating Temperature	-30°C to +65°C	
	Storage Temperature	-40°C to +85°C	
	Humidity	95% non-condensing	
Power GPS Sensor	Input Voltage Range	8 to 36 VDC	
	Consumption, RTK	<4.9W (0.40A @ 12 VDC typical)	
	Consumption, OmniSTAR	<5.5W (0.46A @ 12 VDC typical)	

¹ Depends on multipath environment, number of satellites in view, satellite geometry and ionospheric activity.

² Depends also on baseline length.

³ Requires a subscription from OmniSTAR.

Opti-Logic RS800 Laser Altimeter Specifications

Accuracy	+/- 1m on 1x1 m ² diffuse target with 50% reflectivity
Resolution	0.2 m
Communication Protocol	RS232-8,N,1
Baud Rate	19200
Data Raw Counts	~200 Hz
Data Calibrated Range	~10 Hz
Calibrated Range Units	Feet, Meters, Yards
Laser	Class I (eye-safe) 905nm +/- 10nm
Power	7-9VDC conditioned required, current draw at full power (~ 1.8W)
Laser Wavelength	RS100 905 nm +/- 10 nm
Laser Divergence	Vertical axis – 3.5 mrad half- angle divergence; Horizontal axis – 1 mrad half- angle divergence; (Approximate beam footprint at 100 m is 35 cm x 5 cm)
Data Rate	~200 Hz raw counts for un-calibrated operation; ~10 Hz for calibrated operation (averaging algorithm seeks 8 good readings)
Dimensions	32 x 78 x 84 mm (lens face cross section is 32 x 78 mm)
Weight	< 227 g (8oz)
Casing	RS100/RS400/RS800 units are supplied as OEM modules consisting of an open chassis containing optics and circuit boards. Custom housings can be designed and built on request.

HC-S3 Temperature and Relative Humidity Probe Specifications

Operating Temperature	-40°C to +60°C
Temperature Output Signal Range	0 to 1.0 VDC
Temperature Resolution	0.1°C or better
Relative Humidity(RH) Measurement Range	0 to 100 % non-condensing
RH Output Signal Range	0 to 1.0 VDC
RH Accuracy At 23°C	± 1.5 % RH
RH Response Time	12 to 15 secs
RH Typical Long Term Stability	Better than 1% RH per year
Probe Length	168 mm (6.6 in.)
Probe Body Diameter	15.25 mm (0.6 in.)
Housing Material	Polycarbonate
Power Consumption	< 4 mA
Supply Voltage	3.5 to 50 VDC (typically 5 VDC)
Settling Time after power is switched on	3 secs

Barometric Pressure Setra Model 276 Specifications

Pressure Ranges	600 to 1100 hPa/mb 800 to 1100 hPa/mb 0 to 20 psia
Accuracy	±0.25% FS
Output	0.1 to 5.1 VDC 0.5 to 4.5 VDC
Excitation	12 VDC (9.0 to 14.5) 24 VDC (21.6 to 26.0) 5 VDC (4.9 to 7.1)
Size	2" dia. x 1" (5 cm x 2.5 cm)

Scintrex CS-3 Magnetometer Specifications

Operating Principal	Self-oscillation split-beam Cesium Vapor (non-radioactive Cs-133)
Operating Range	15,000 to 105,000 nT
Gradient Tolerance	40,000 nT/metre
Operating Zones	10° to 85° and 95° to 170°
Hemisphere Switching	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Automatic b) Electronic control actuated by the control voltage levels (TTL/CMOS) c) Manual
Sensitivity	0.0006 nT $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ rms
Noise Envelope	Typically 0.002 nT P-P, 0.1 to 1 Hz bandwidth
Heading Error	+/- 0.25 nT (inside the optical axis to the field direction angle range 15° to 75° and 105° to 165°)
Absolute Accuracy	<2.5 nT throughout range
Output	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Continuous signal at the Larmor frequency which is proportional to the magnetic field (proportionality constant 3.49857 Hz/nT) sine wave signal amplitude modulated on the power supply voltage b) Square wave signal at the I/O connector, TTL/CMOS compatible
Information Bandwidth	Only limited by the magnetometer processor used
Sensor Head	Diameter: 63 mm (2.5") Length: 160 mm (6.3") Weight: 1.15 kg (2.6 lb)
Sensor Electronics	Diameter: 63 mm (2.5") Length: 350 mm (13.8") Weight: 1.5 kg (3.3 lb)
Cable, Sensor to Sensor Electronics	3m (9' 8"), lengths up to 5m (16' 4") available
Operating Temperature	-40°C to +50°C
Humidity	Up to 100%, splash proof
Supply Power	24 to 35 Volts DC
Supply Current	Approx. 1.5A at start up, decreasing to 0.5A at 20°C
Power Up Time	Less than 15 minutes at -30°C

Bartington Mag-03 three-axis fluxgate magnetic field sensor Specifications

Number of Axes	3
Bandwidth	0 to 3kHz at 50 μ T peak
Internal Noise	Basic version: >10 to 20pTrms/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ at 1Hz Standard version: 6 to \leq 10pTrms/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ at 1Hz Low Noise version: <6pTrms/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ at 1Hz
Scaling error (DC)	< \pm 0.5%
Orthogonality error	<0.1 $^{\circ}$
Alignment error (Z axis to reference face)	<0.1 $^{\circ}$
Linearity error	<0.0015%
Frequency response	0 to 1kHz maximally flat, \pm 5% maximum at 1kHz
Input voltage	\pm 12V to \pm 17V
Supply current	+30mA, -10mA (+1.4mA per 100 μ T for each axis)
Power supply rejection ratio	5 μ V/V (-106dB)
Analog output	\pm 10V (\pm 12V supply) swings to within 0.5V of supply voltage
Output impedance	10 Ω
Operating temperature range	-40 $^{\circ}$ C to +70 $^{\circ}$ C
Environmental protection	IP51
Dimensions (W x H x L)	32 x 32 x 152mm
Weight	160g
Enclosure material	Reinforced epoxy
Connector	ITT Cannon DEM-9P-NMB
Mating connector	ITT Cannon DEM-9S-NMB
Mounting	2 x M5 fixing holes

Pico Envirotec GRS-10 Gamma Spectrometer Specifications

Crystal volume	8.4 litres of NaI (Tl) synthetic downward looking crystals and 4.2 litres of NaI (Tl) synthetic upward looking crystals
Resolution	256/512 channels
Tuning	Automatic using peak determination algorithm
Detector	Digital Peak
Calibration	Fully automated detector
Real Time	Linearization and gain stabilization
Communication	RS232
Detectors	Expandable to 10 detectors and digital peak
Count Rate	Up to 60,000 cps per detector
Count Capacity per channel	65545
Energy detection range:	36 KeV to 3 MeV
Cosmic channel	Above 3 MeV
Upward Shielding	RayShield® non-radioactive shielding on downward looking crystals
Downward Shielding	6 mm thick lead plate is used for downward-shielding
Spectra	Collected spectra of 256/512 channels, internal spectrum resolution 1024
Software	Calibration: High voltage adjustment, linearity correction coefficients calculation, and communication test support Real Time Data Collection: Automatic Gain real time control on natural isotopes, and PC based test and calibration software suite
Sensor	Each box containing two (2) gamma detection NaI(Tl) crystals – each 4.2 liters. (256 cu in.) (approx. 100 x 100 x 650 mm) Total volume of approx 8.4 litres or 512 cu in with detector electronics
Spectra Stabilization	Real time automatic corrections on radio nuclei: Th, Ur, K. No implanted sources

Pico Envirotec AGIS data recorder system Specifications

(for Navigation, Gamma spectrometer, VLF-EM and Magnetometer Data Acquisition)

Functions	Airborne Geophysical Information System (AGIS) with integrated Global Positioning System Receiver (GPS) and all necessary navigation guidance software. Inputs for geophysical sensors - portable gamma ray spectrometer GRS-10, MMS4 Magnetometer, Totem 2A EM, A/D converter, temperature probe, humidity probe, barometric pressure probe, and laser altimeter. Output for the multi-parameter PGU (Pilot Guidance Unit)
Display	Touch screen with display of 800 x 600 pixels; customized keypad and operator keyboard. Multi-screen options for real-time viewing of all data inputs, fiducial points, flight line tracking, and GPS channels by operator.
GPS Navigation	Garmin 12-channel, WAAS-enabled
Data Sampling	Sensor dependent
Data Synchronization	Synchronized to GPS position
Data File	PEI Binary data format
Storage	80 GB
Supplied Software	PEIView: Allows fast data Quality Control (QC) Data Format: Geosoft GBN and ASCII output PEIConv: For survey preparation and survey plot after data acquisition
Software	Calibration: High voltage adjustment, linearity correction coefficients calculation, and communication test support Real Time Data Collection: Automatic Gain real time control on natural isotopes and PC based test and calibration software suite
Power Requirements	24 to 32 VDC
Temperature	Operating: -10°C to +55°C; storage: -20°C to +70°C

Appendix B

Digital File Descriptions

- Magnetic database description
- Radiometric database description
- Grids
- Maps

Magnetic Database:

Abbreviations used in the GDB files listed below:

Channel	Units	Description
X_WGS84	m	UTM Easting – WGS 84 Zone 10 North
Y_WGS84	m	UTM Northing – WGS 84 Zone 10 North
Lon_deg	deg	Longitude
Lat_deg	deg	Latitude
Date	yyyy/mm/dd	Dates of the survey flight(s)
FLT		Flight Line numbers
LineNo		Line numbers
STL		Number of satellite(s)
GPSfix		GPS fix
GPStime	Hours:min:secs	GPS time (UTC)
Geos_m	m	Geoidal separation
GHead_deg	deg	Heading of the helicopter
XTE_m	m	Flight line cross distance
Galt	m	GPS height – WGS 84 Zone 10 North
Lalt	m	Laser Altimeter readings
DTM	m	Digital Terrain Model
basemag	nT	Base station diurnal data
IGRF		International Geomagnetic Reference Field 2015
Declin	Decimal deg	Calculated declination of magnetic field
Inclin	Decimal deg	Calculated inclination of magnetic field
TMI	nT	Total Magnetic Intensity
RMI	nT	Residual Magnetic Intensity

Radiometric Database:

Abbreviations used in the GDB files listed below:

Channel	Units	Description
X_WGS84	m	UTM Easting – WGS 84 Zone 10 North
Y_WGS84	m	UTM Northing – WGS 84 Zone 10 North
Lon_deg	deg	Longitude
Lat_deg	deg	Latitude
Date	yyyy/mm/dd	Dates of the survey flight(s)
FLT		Flight numbers
LineNo		Line numbers
STL		Number of satellite(s)
GPStime	Hours:min:secs	GPS time (UTC)
Geos_m	m	Geoidal separation
GPSFix		GPS fix
GHead_deg	deg	Heading of the helicopter
XTE_m	m	Flight line cross distance
Galt	m	GPS height – WGS 84 Zone 10 North
Lalt	m	Laser Altimeter readings
DTM	m	Digital Terrain Model
BaroSTP_kP	KiloPascal	Barometric Altitude (Press and Temp Corrected)
Temp_degC	Degrees C	Air Temperature
Press_kP	KiloPascal	Atmospheric Pressure
COSFILT	counts/sec	Spectrometer - Filtered Cosmic
Uru_cps	counts /sec	Upward Uranium
Kcor	%	Equivalent Concentration - Potassium
THcor	ppm	Equivalent Concentration - Thorium
Ucor	ppm	Equivalent Concentration - Uranium
TCcor	μR	Equivalent Dose Rate
TCexp	μR/hour	Exposure Rate - SUM(%k, eU, eTh) * determined factors
THKratio		Spectrometer – eTh/%K ratio
UKratio		Spectrometer – eU/%K ratio
UTHratio		Spectrometer – eU/eTh ratio

Grids: New Sunro Survey Block, WGS 84 Datum, Zone 10N

FILE NAME	DESCRIPTION
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_DTM_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block digital terrain model gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_TMI_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block total magnetic intensity gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_RMI_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block residual magnetic intensity gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_CVG_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block calculated vertical gradient of TMI gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_Kcor_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block potassium (%K) - equivalent concentration in percentage gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_Thcor_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block Thorium (eTh) – equivalent concentration gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_Ucor_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block Uranium (eU) – equivalent concentration gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_TCcor_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block Total Count (TCcor) – equivalent dose rate gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_TCexp_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block Total Count (TCexp) – exposure rate gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_KThratio_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block potassium over thorium ratio (%K/eTh) gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_KUratio_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block potassium over uranium ratio (%K/eU) gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_UThratio_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block uranium over thorium ratio (eU/eTh) gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_ThKratio_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block thorium over potassium ratio (eTh/%K) gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_UKratio_25m.grd	New Sunro survey block uranium over potassium ratio (eU/%K) gridded at 25 m cell size

Maps: New Sunro survey block, WGS 84 Datum, Zone 10N (jpegs and pdfs)

FILE NAME	DESCRIPTION
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_ActualFlightLines	New Sunro survey block survey block plotted actual flown flight lines
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_DTM_25m	New Sunro survey block survey block digital terrain model gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_TMI_25m	New Sunro survey block survey block total magnetic intensity gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_TMI_with_FlightLines_25m	New Sunro survey block survey block total magnetic intensity with plotted actual flight lines gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_RMI_25m	New Sunro survey block survey block residual magnetic intensity gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_CVG_25m	New Sunro survey block survey block calculated vertical gradient of TMI gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_Kcor_25m	New Sunro survey block potassium (%K) - equivalent concentration in percentage gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_Thcor_25m	New Sunro survey block Thorium (eTh) – equivalent concentration gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_Ucor_25m	New Sunro survey block Uranium (eU) – equivalent concentration gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_TCCor_25m	New Sunro survey block Total Count (TCCor) – equivalent dose rate gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_TCexp_25m	New Sunro survey block Total Count (TCexp) – exposure rate gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_KThratio_25m	New Sunro survey block potassium over thorium ratio (%K/eTh) gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_KUratio_25m	New Sunro survey block potassium over uranium ratio (%K/eU) gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_UThratio_25m	New Sunro survey block uranium over thorium ratio (eU/eTh) gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_ThKratio_25m	New Sunro survey block thorium over potassium ratio (eTh/%K) gridded at 25 m cell size
NewSunro_SurveyBlock_TernaryMap_25m	New Sunro survey block displaying ratios of all three elements (%K, eTh, eU)

Appendix C

New Sunro Survey Block Maps

Survey Overview Maps (colour image with elevation contour lines):


- Flight Lines (FL)
- Digital Terrain Model (DTM)

Magnetic Maps (colour image with elevation contour lines):


- Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI)
- Total Magnetic Intensity with flight lines (TMI_wFL)
- Residual Magnetic Intensity (RMI)
- Calculated Vertical Gradient (CVG) of TMI

Radiometric Maps (colour image with elevation contour lines):


- Potassium – Equivalent Concentration (%K)
- Thorium – Equivalent Concentration (eTh)
- Uranium – Equivalent Concentration (eU)
- Total Count – Equivalent Dose Rate (TCcor)
- Total Count – Exposure Rate (TCexp)
- Potassium over Thorium Ratio (%K/eTh)
- Potassium over Uranium Ratio (%K/eU)
- Uranium over Thorium Ratio (eU/eTh)
- Thorium over Potassium Ratio (eTh/%K)
- Uranium over Potassium Ratio (eU/%K)
- Ternary Map (TM)




Map 1: New Sunro survey block actual flight lines.




Map 2: New Sunro survey block digital terrain model.




Map 3: New Sunro survey block total magnetic intensity.




Map 4: New Sunro survey block total magnetic intensity with displayed actual flight lines.




Map 5: New Sunro survey block residual magnetic intensity.




Map 6: New Sunro survey block calculated vertical gradient of the total magnetic intensity.




Map 7: New Sunro survey block potassium – equivalent concentration in percentage.




Map 8: New Sunro survey block thorium – equivalent concentration




Map 9: New Sunro survey block uranium – equivalent concentration.




Map 10: New Sunro survey block total count – equivalent dose rate.




Map 11: New Sunro survey block total count – exposure rate.




Map 12: New Sunro survey block potassium over thorium ratio.




Map 13: New Sunro survey block potassium over uranium ratio.




Map 14: New Sunro survey block uranium over thorium ratio.



Map 15: New Sunro survey block thorium over potassium ratio.






Map 16: New Sunro survey block uranium over potassium ratio.



Map 17: New Sunro survey block ternary map; ratio of K, Th, and U.

Appendix 2
Satellite DEM Data



Appendix 2



Mineralized Zones (from Cerna Copper Mines Ltd. by Bacon & Crowhurst Ltd., April 1969)



Rock Samples Taken by BC SW Regional Geologist Jacques Houle, April 2000



GPS Locations Taken for New Sunro Mines by Jacques Houle, April 2014

<p>New Sunro Copper Ltd.</p> <p>New Sunro Property 5 m. DEM Data & Mineralized Zones</p> <p>Jacques Houle P.Eng.</p>

2015-2016 Technical Assessment Cost Statement for the New Sunro Property

Exploration Work type	Comment	Days			Totals
Office Studies	List Personnel (note - Office only, do not include field days)				
Computer modelling	Jacques Houle modeling & maps	2.45	\$831.60	\$2,037.42	
Reprocessing of data	Jacques Houle manage surveys	0.70	\$831.60	\$582.12	
General research	Jacques Houle NI43-101 Report	8.75	\$831.60	\$7,276.50	
Report preparation	Jacques Houle Assessment Report	1.00	\$831.60	\$831.60	
Other (specify)	Jacques Houle NOW Application	2.75	\$831.60	\$2,286.90	
				\$13,014.54	\$13,014.54
Airborne Exploration Surveys	Line Kilometres / Enter total invoiced amount				
Aeromagnetics	149 line-km Aeromagnetic by Precision		\$7,612.50	\$7,612.50	
Radiometrics	149 line-km Radiometric by Precision		\$7,612.50	\$7,612.50	
Digital terrain modelling	2025 ha. Satellite DEM by Auracle		\$11,130.00	\$11,130.00	
				\$26,355.00	\$26,355.00
TOTAL Expenditures					\$39,369.54

Appendix 3
Mineral Tenure Data